Allen	Nancy	VS VS	Allen	Richard	5 Mar 1915 p6	27 Jul 1915 p6
					Complaint in a divorce action was filed yesterday by Mrs. Nancy Allen, who charges her husband, Richard Allen, with non-support. The couple were married in Beulab, Michigan, on April 17, 1901, and have four minor children, of which the mother asks custody, claiming that the defendant is not a fit person. She alleges that her husband, although capable of doing so, has not contributed anything to the support of the family for the past year, and she has been compelled to do manual work to care for them. She asks that she be granted \$35 per month for the support of herself and the children, and \$50 attorney's fees. Mrs. Allen is represented by F. D. Lingenfelter.	On grounds of desertion and non-support, Mrs. Nancy Allen was yesterday granted a divorce from Richard Allen, the decree being granted by default of the defendant. Mrs. Allen was granted custody of her four minor children. F. D. Lingenfelter appeared for Mrs. Allen.
Anderson	Charles	vs	Anderson	Anna	14 Dec 1915 p5 Lighter, as attorney for Charles ass, filed suit today for divorce in Assertos.	
Anderson	Conval	vs	Anderson	Olette	5 Feb 1915 p6 Conval Anderson was granted a divorce from Olette Anderson on Pebruary 2. Desertion was charged and the defendant did not contest the action.	
Barker	Ethel	vs	Barker	John L	A divorce has been granted to Mrs. Ethel Barker, the defendant, John L. Barker failing to appear to defend the action. Mrs. Barker was represented by Jess H. Stevens, of Polson.	
Barnes	Pearl	vs	Barnes	Joseph	A divorce has been granted to Pearl Barnes from Joseph L. Barnes, descr- tion being charged. The decree was granted by default.	A diverce has been granted to Mrs. Pearl V. Barnes from her husband, Joseph L. Barnes.
Barricklow	W E	vs	Barricklow	Ella	23 Mar 1915 p3 W. E. Barricklow yesterday filed complaint in a divorce action, in which he charges his wife, Ella Barricklow with describe. The couple were married in Fort Scott, Kansas, March 1, 1907, and have no children. R. H. Wiedman of Columbia Falls, represents Mr. Harricklow.	
Barry	Emma V	vs	Barry	John R	6 Apr 1915 p3 Charging her husband, John II. Barry with cruelty, desertion and neasupport, Mrs. Brann V. Berry has filed complaint in an action for divorce, the couple were married in this city on April 1, 1914.	
Bergren	Cora M	VS	Bergren	Albert	In the divorce case of Cora M. Hergren vs. Albert Bergren an agreement has been reached between the parties to the action, whereby the dendant states that he does not intend to defend the case, and agrees to pay the plaintiff \$25 per month almony for the support of herself and the adopted child of the couple. He also agrees to give Mrs. Bergren the use of the residence at 118 Fourth avance west, and further agrees that if he ever disposes of this, property or his saloon, that he will pay her \$1000 in lieu of the allmony.	29 Jan 1915 p6 A decree of divorce was granted yesterday to Mrs. Cora M. Bergren vs Albert Bergren, according to the terms of the agreement entered into by the couple prior to the granting of the decree.
Blackbear	Birdie	VS	Blackbear	John	A decree of divorce was granted yes- terday to Mrs. Cora M. Bergren va Albert Bergren, according to the terms of the agreement entered into by the couple prior to the granting of the de- cree.	

Given

Surname

Publication Dates

vs Bradley Bradley Hannah N 31 Aug 1915 p2 31 Aug 1915 p3 George F Action for divorce has been filed by George P. Bradley vs. Hannah N. Brad ley. ALIAS SUMMONS

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

George F. Bradley, Plaintiff, vs. Hannah M. Bradley defendant.

The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendants and to each of them:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each County wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the rollef demanded in the Complaint.

Plaintiff complains of the defendant and alleges:

1. That for more than one year last ALIAS SUMMONS raken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Plaintiff complains of the defendant and alleges:

I. That for more than one year last past plaintiff has been and now is a resident of the State of Montana.

2. That plaintiff and defendant were intermarried at Casco, Michigan, December 26th, 1905, and ever since have been and now are husband and wife.

3. That there are no children as, a result of said marriage.

4. The plaintiff has always been towards defendant a faithful and kind husband, but that defendant, unmindful of her marriage obligations, has, without eause, and against the wisa, and without the consent of the plaintiff, wilfully and unlawfully deserted and abandoned plaintiff, for more than one year immediately preceding the commencement of this action, and so continues to live separate and spart from him.

Wherefore plaintiff asks judgment against the defendant that a decree of divorce be granted herein, and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem neet and agrees able to equity.

Witness my hand and the Senl of said Court this 30th day of August, 1915.

SAM D. M'NEELY, Clerk of said Court SAM D. M'NEELY,
Clerk of said Court.
By R. N. EATON,
D. P. SMITH,
Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kalispell, Montana. Brower Goldie 30 Mar 1915 p5 25 May 1914 p4 10 Aug 1915 p4 A decree of divorce in the case of Goldie Brower vs Leo I. Brower was filed today. Mrs. Brower is granted the custody of her minor children, and the defendant is ordered to pay the costs of action. Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Goldle Brower, who charges her husband, Leo L. Brower, with non-support. The couple were married in Zion City, Illinois, in 1904, and have three minor children. A divorce action was start to, but was dismover by the control of the action of the attorney for the plaintiff. J. E. Erlekson appears for Mrs. Brower. cien of the plaintiff's at-the action for divorce insti-, Mrs. Goldie Brower against gwer, has been dismissed. Brown vs Brown James 20 Apr 1915 p5 netion which was ose Brown vs. James of months ago, was saing on motion of JL Lillian 25 Jul 1915 p6 vs Brusch Brusch An action for divorce has been filed by J. L. Brusch, who charges his wife, Lillian Brusch with cruelty. The couple were married in Portland, on May 11, 1911. Bryant Zona vs Bryant Fred 22 Jan 1915 p6 29 Jan 1915 p6 Complaint in an action for divorce has been filed by Zona Bryant, who charges her husband Fred Bryant with non-support, although she further states that he is a railroad man capable of carning \$150 per month. She asks for counsel fees in the sum of \$75 and such other relief as the court may deen pecessary. In the divorce action brought by Mrs. Zera Bryant vs. Fred Bryant, the order to show cause was dismissed Wednesday on motion of the plaintiff's attorney.

Danford

George

Charles D

DIVORCE GRANTED MRS. CONRAD

CUSTODY OF CHILDREN AND THE PROPERTY RIGHTS ADJUSTED BEFORE CASE IS TRIED.

BEFORE CASE IS TRIED.

Before the opening of the murder case this morning, the court heard the diverse case of Kokea B. Conrad va. Charles D. Conrad, and the decree was immediately granted.

In her complaint Mrs. Conrad charged the defendant with cruelty, which was denied in his answer. A stipulation adjusting the property rights of the parties to the action was also filed, and the decree was rendered practically in accord with the terms of the stipulation. In granting the decree the court provides that the plaintiff shall have the custody of the two minor children one-half the time, during which she shall support them without expense to the defendant, and that the defendant shall have then one-half the time, this provision existing until the further order of the court. It is decreed that Mrs. Courad shall receive the home, its furnishing, shall be paid \$4,000 at one, \$4,300 on or before May 15, 1913, \$5,000 on or before May 15, 1918, and that these payments shall bear 6 per cent interest until paid.

The children are Kokoa, aged 5 and Charles Edward, who is six years old today, and it is provided that the father shall care for and educate them. Mr. and Mrs. Conrad were married in this city, March 12, 1907. G. H. Grubb appeared for the defendant and C. E. M. Malloy of Spokane, for the plaintiff. There have been rumors that the case was impending for the past six months, and it does not come alsix months and description and the court of the defendant and C. E.

vs Danford Bertha 8 Jun 1915 p6

complaint in an action for divorce was filed yesterday by George Danford, who charges his wife, Bertin Danford, with desertion, Mr. and Mrs. Danford were married in Kalispell on September 25, 1910, and have no child-

Glen H vs Disbrow

A decree of divorce was granted to ten H. Disbrow this morning, the de-ndant, Cora M. Disbrow failing to spear to contest the action.

16 Mar 1915 p5 RΡ vs Evans Evans

Constance vs Fine 30 Jul 1915 p8

Complaint in an action for diverce has been filed by Mrs. Constance Fine, who charges her husband, Carcy L. Fine with Knillerell in 1809, and have eight miner children, of which Mrs. Fine asks costoly. Mrs. Fine states that her husband is carning about \$150, per month from his automobile levely business, and asks that she be granted sufficient allmony for the support of herself and children.

25 Jun 1915 p6 Frudenthal Lilly F vs Frudenthal 1 L

Complaint in an action for divorce has been filed by Mrs. Lilly F. Preudenthal against I. L. Freudenthal well known Nurrical In Payette, Idea, Nurrical In Payette, Idea, Nurrical In Payette, Idea, Nurrical International International Computer 10, 1908, and have no child-ren. Nofisinger & Walchili are Mrs. Freudenthal's attorneys, and she has retained Samuel B. Stern of Spokane as associate counsel.

3 Aug 1915 p6

Mrs. Constance Fine has been granted a decree of divorce from Carey L. Fine. The plaintiff was granted the custody of the minor children, the defendant agreeing to pay \$30 per month for their support.

Gardner	Eva M	vs	Gardner	Lee	17 Aug 1915 p4 Eva M. Gardner has filed suit for divorce from Lee Gardner, alleging failure to provide for herself and children.		
Gibson	AV	vs	Gibson	Orpha A	27 Aug 1915 p5 Y. Gibson has filed suit for distribution of free Orpha A. Gibson. Plaintiff free Orpha A. Lingenfelter. The contracted by F. D. Lingenfelter.		
Gravelle	Isabelle	vs	Gravelle	George H	4 Jun 1915 p6 Desertion is charged by the plaintiff in a divorce action brought by Mrs. Isabelle Gravelle against the couple were married in Eureka, July 29, 1999, and have two minor children, John, aged 5, and Thomas IL, aged 3, of whom the mother asks the custody. II, G. Pomeroy is attorney for Mrs. Gravelle.		
Graves	Amos M	VS	Graves	Eva F	26 Mar 1915 p5 sining that his wife, Eva P, all the district him in Great Falls, in a seried him in Great Falls, in the sining of the sining		
Graves	William S	vs	Graves	Bertha	26 Mar 1915 p5 splant in a divorce action has sed in district court by William bars of Columbia Falls, who ye that his wife, Bertha Graves, all of descriton. The couple surried in Wisconsin in 1890, inventates in his complaint the wife left him in Columbia in 1890. The couple have one of which the mother has the columbia that the wife with the mother has the columbia that the wife with the mother has the columbia that the columbia that we will be supported by the wife with the mother has the columbia that the columbia that we will be supported by the wife with the mother has the columbia that the c	30 Apr 1915 p6 The diverce case of William S. Graves vs. Berton Graves was heard by Judge Thompson, April 27, and was taken under advisement.	4 Jun 1915 p6 William S. Graves was granted a divorce from Bertha Graves on June I, the defendant failing to appear to make defense.
Greusel	William F	vs	Greusel	Inez	10 Sep 1915 p4 William F. Greusel has filed suit for divorce from Inex Greusel, through his attorney John Duffy.		
Griffin	Della S	vs	Griffin	1S	22 Jun 1915 p6 A sensational divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Della S. Griffin, who charges that her husband, J. S. Griffin, Is not only guilty of desertion and nonsupport, but that also in 1911 he assaulted her with a gan, threatening to kill her, and has also been very abusive in other ways. The couple were married in Illinois in 1882, and their family have reached their majority with the exception of one ulmor daughter, of which Mrs. Griffin asks costody, Judge Erickson appears for the plaintiff.		
Grusman	John P	vs	Grusman	Annie	Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by John P. Grusman, who alleges that his wife, Annie Grusman, is guilty of extreme cruelty. The couple were married in Oklahoma in October, 1909.		
Hall	Sidney R	vs	Hall	Florence	12 Oct 1915 p5 The grounds of the grounds of the period o		
Hartman	Reba	vs	Hartman	Grover	16 Nov 1915 p8 Reba Hartman has filed suit for divorce from Grover Hartman, through her attorney J. P. Duffy.		
Hill	Clarence V	vs	Hill	Mary S	23 Jul 1915 p5 m action for divorce by Clarence V. Hill, wife, Mary S. Hill De coule were mar- by, Missauri, in Aug- to use child of which a castedy.	19 Oct 1915 p6 A decree of divorce was today granted Clarence V, Hill from Mary Hill.	

Horsman	Edmund	vs	Horsman	Matilda	9 Apr 1915 p5 Edmund Horsman was granted a divorce from his wife, Matilda Horsman yesterday, on the grounds of extreme cruelty. The couple were married in Devil's Lake in 1806, and have the minor children, who are in the castedy of their mother, who resides at Mondak.	
Iverson	Leanora A	vs	Iverson	John W	31 Dec 1915 p8 Leaners A. Iverson has filed suit for divarce from John W. Iverson, alleging cruelty. She asks alimony for the support of their child and a division of the common property.	
Jensen	Otto	vs	Jensen	Anna	12 Feb 1915 p6 Otto Jensen was granted a divorce from his wife Anna Jensen on February ninth, Cruelty was charged, and the defendent did not appear in court to contest the case.	
Johnson	George E	vs	Johnson	Ellen	21 May 1915 p6 Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by George E. Johnson, who alleges that his wife, Ellen, deserted him, going to North Dakota. The couple were married March 19, 1914.	
Lauderdale	Eleanor	vs	Lauderdale	GL	17 Aug 1915 p4 Eleanor Lauderdale is petitioning for divorce from G. L. Lauderdale, the plaintiff being represented by J. fl. Stevens, of Polson.	
Lawrence	Alberta M	vs	Lawrence	Charles N	13 Aug 1915 p5 WIFE WANTS DIVORDE AND PROPERTY RESTORED. Mrs. Alberta M. Lawrence, when wants in diverce from her husband, Charles N. Lawrence, whom she charges with extreme crushly, in her compiliant in the control of the contr	
Maken	Ruth	vs	Maken	George	23 Mar 1915 p8 Mrs. Ruth Maken yesterday filed complaint in an action for divorce. She charges her husband, George Maken, with cruel and inhuman treatment. The couple were married in this city March 13, 1911, and have no children.	20 Apr 1915 p6 Mrs. Ruth Maken was granted a decree of divorce by Judge Thompson yesterday, on the grounds of cruel and inhuman treatment. The defendant, George Maken, did not appear in court to contest the case, and the decree was granted by default. The couple have two minor children, which are in the custedy of their mother. The plaintiff's malden name, Ruth Gibbs, was restored by the order.
Maple	Anna C	VS	Maple	Leonard A	26 Mar 1915 p8 Anna C. Maple was granted a divorce from Leonard A. Maple on March 24, the grounds being cruelty and non-support. Mrs. Maple was also granted the custody of her minor son, Leonard M. Maple.	
Masterson	Clara May	VS	Masterson	William E	26 Mar 1915 p5 Gara M. Masterson has filed to a divorce action, charge that hashad, William E. Masterson has non-support, although she has be is well able to provide the second	23 Apr 1915 p6 Mrs. Clara May Masterson was granted a divorce yesterday on the ground of wilful neglect, the defendant, William E. Masterson failing to appear to contest the case,
McFadden	Effie	VS	McFadden	Charles W	Alleging desertion, Mrs. Effic McPat- den has filed complaint in an action t for divorce from Charles W. McPadden. t The couple were married in Whitefish y in July, 1908, and have two children, aged 2 and 6 years, of which the moth- er asks the custody. Judge Erickson appears for the plaintiff.	

McGahen	Charles W	vs	McGahen	Grace	Charles W. McGahen filed complaint in an action for divorce from his wife Grace McGahan in district court, danuary 13. The court out out of the court of the cour
McGeah	William A	vs	McGeah	Edith	S Jan 1915 p6 Complaint in an action for divorce was filed January 4 by William A. Mc-Geah, who charges his wife Edith Mc-Geah with describen. A. L. Hughes appears for the plaintiff.
McKelvey	Mary	vs	McKelvey	William	21 May 1915 p6 In a divorce action which was filed yesterday, Mrs. Mary McKelvey has charged her husband, William McKelvey, with groulfy. She asks the custody of her two minor children, aged four and five years, alimony, suit money, and counsel fees. Mrs. McKelvey claims that her husband struck her could be suited as the second of the

6 Jul 1915 p4 Margaret vs Medaris Leroy

Mrs. Margaret Medaris has filed ac-tion for divorce from her husband, Lercy Medaris, whom she charges with desertion. The couple was married in Bigfork in 1908, and have no child-ren. M. C. Groene of Whitefish, rep-resents the plaintiff.

Melton Frances Rose vs Melton Geo R 4 Jun 1915 p6

Medaris

Nonsupport is charged in a divorce case filed June 2, in which Mrs. Frances Rose Melton is plaintiff and Geo. R. Melton, defendant. The couples were married in Texas, November 12, 1907. They have one adopted child, Harland, of whom Mrs. Melton asked custedly, claiming that her husband is not a proper person to have the care of the child. W. T. McKeown represents the plaintiff.

Jun 4 1915 p3

25 Jun 1915 p6

ALIAS SUMMONS.

Mrs. Mary McKelvey was granted a divorce from William McKelvey yesterday. The custody of the two minor children of the couple is divided equally, the plaintiff to have them half the time and the defendant the other half, and in case of disagreement the time is to be fixed by the court. The defendant is to pay the plaintiff \$55 per month during the time that the children are in her custody, and \$82.50 during the time that he has them.

ALIAS SUMMONS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FLATHEAD.

Frances Rose Melton, Plaintiff, va. George Riley Melton, Defendant. The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendants and to each of them:

You are bereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, which is Court, a copy of which each County wherein any of you realed, and to flie your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the complaint. This action is brought for the purpose of dissolving the bonds of matchiff and the said defendant has wholly falled, refused and neglected to furnish this plaintiff for more than one year last past, in this that said defendant has wholly falled, refused and neglected to furnish this plaintiff for more than one year last past, in this that said defendant has wholly falled, refused all and lenglected to furnish this plaintiff for more than one year last past, he having the common necessaries of life for more than one year last past, he having the said court this and day of June, 1915.

SAM D. M.NEELY.

Clerk of Court.

By R. N. EATON, Deputy Clerk.

W. T. McKeown, Attorney for Plaintiff, Kallspell, Montana.

17 Sep 1915 p5

Decree of divorce was granted today the case of Frances Rose Melton, George Riley Melton.

Meyers (Myers)	Vasni	VS	Meyers (Myers)	Edward	12 Feb 1915 p2	2 Mar 1915 p6
					Complaint in an action for divorce was filed yesterday by Mrs. Vashi Meyers, who charges her husband, Edward Meyers with cruel and inhuman treatment. The couple were married in Missouri in 1881, and have four children, two of whom are minors. Mrs. Meyers alleges that her husband kicked, struck and beat her, and even threatened to take her life, she states that although he is worth nearly \$20,000 he has given her only 85 cents for her own personal use in the past six months, and for good measure adds that he is frequently drunk, and uses profane, abusive and obseene language in the presence of the children. Mrs. Meyers claims that she and her husband have community property to the value of \$19,000, and in addition to asking for a divorce and the custody of the minor children, also requests that she be granted \$500 suit money, \$1000 attorney's fees, and \$100 per month for the support of herself and children. T. A. Thompson appears for the plaintiff.	The divorce action of Mrs. Vashti Myers versus Edward Myers was dismissed on motion of attorneys for the plaintiff.
Micho	Pauline	vs	Micho	Thomas	16 Mar 1915 p5	
					An action for divorce has been nieu by Mr. Pauline Micho, who alleges that for husband, Thomas Micho, is cally of cruel and inhuman treat- ment, and drunkeness. The couple were married in Lewistown in 1897, and now reside in Whitefish.	
Miller	Margaret	vs	Miller	МЈ	10 Aug 1915 p4	
					Cruel and inhuman treatment are the charges preferred by Mrs. Margarret Miller in her complaint in a divorce action brought against M. J. Miller. The plaintiff alleges that she owns the homesteal at Kila on which the couple have been living, together with the equipment and livestock, and the court has granted a restraining order to prevent the defendant from interfering with the administration of her own affairs. Mrs. Miller has four minor children by a former marriage, and asks the court to prevent Miller from interfering with her in the chre or management of them.	
Monroe	Louis	VS	Monroe	Emma	5 Jan 1915 p6 Desortion is charged in the complaint filed by Louis Monroe who is asking for a divorce from his wife Emma Monroe. The couple were married in Browning, August 1, 1908. M. D. Baldwin represents the plaintiff.	
Moore	Josephine	vs	Moore	Ross	22 Jun 1915 p6	25 Jun 1915 p5
					Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Josephine Moore, who charges her husband Ross Moore, with nonsupport. The couple were married in this city in 1911.	Mrs. Josephine Moore was granted a diverse from Ross Moore in district court today.
Moser	Janet	VS	Moser	Andrew	21 May 1915 p6	
					Mrs. Janet Moser was granted a decree of diverce from her husband, Andrew Moser, on May 19.	
Nelson	Madge H	vs	Nelson	Oscar T	22 Jun 1915 p5 An action of divorce was filed this morning by Mrs. Madge II. Nelson, who charges her husband, Oscar T. Nelson with extreme cruelty. The couple were married in this city five years ago.	2 Jul 1915 p5 Mrs. Mardge H. Nelson was granted a divorce from her husband, Oscar T. Nelson on June 30, the decree being taken by default.
Ness	Jessie	vs	Ness	Gerhard	22 Oct 1915 p5 SUIT FOR DIVORCE. Mrs. Jessie Ness has filed suit for divorce from her husband. Gerhard Ness, on the grounds of cruelty. She asks the custody of a minor son. The court has granted a temporary injunction restraining the defendant from disposing of or incumbering their property.	
Palmer	Mrs	vs	Palmer	Matt	8 Oct 1915 p5 Mrs. Matt Palmer has filed action for divirce in district court, asking twenty-five dollars monthly allmony and that her husband provide for their two minor children.	

Meyers (Myers) Vashi

vs Meyers (Myers) Edward

12 Feb 1915 p2

2 Mar 1915 p6

Parent	Nina	vs	Parent	Andrew	22 Oct 1915 p8 Nina Parent was granted a decree of divirce yesterday from Andrew Parent, who failed to appear in the case.	
Paurrier	Louis J	VS	Paurrier	Florence W	S Jan 1915 p5 Complaint in a divorce action was filed in district court yesterday by Losis J. Paurrier, who charges that he wife, Plorence W. Paurrier, is guilty of desertion. The couple were married in this city in May, 1908, and have one child, of which the plaintiff was the custody.	
Pierce	Clara A	vs	Pierce	Frank	ALIAS SUMMONS. In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead. Clara A. Pierce, plaintiff, *** Prank Pierce, defendant. The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendants and to each of them: You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filled in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief domanded in the complaint. This action is brought for the purpose of dissolving the bonds of matrimony now existing between this plaintiff and the said defendant, upon the grounds of cruel and inhuman treatment and upon the further grounds of zon-support, all of which more particularly appears from the complaint filed in said case and to which you are referred. Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 28th day of December, 1914. SAM D. Mendellar, Uerk of Court. By R. N. Eaton, Deputy Clerk. W. T. McKeown, Attorney for Plaintiff, Kalispell, Montana.	9 Mar 1915 p2 A divorce was granted March 6 to Mrs. Clara A. Pierce from her husband, Frank Pierce.
Pierce	Jessie	vs	Pierce	James R	10 Sep 1915 p1 Jessie Pierce has filed suit for divorce from James R. Pierce, alleging descrition and non-support.	
Sands	Anna Hall	VS	Sands	Frank E	Complaint in an action for divorce was filed this morning by Mrs. Anna H. Sanda, who alleges that her husband Frank E. Sands, is gullly of descrition and crucity. The couple were married in Jamestown, N. Y., in June 1008, and have a son six years old, of whom the mother asks the custody.	26 Mar 1915 p8 Anna Hall Sands was granted a divorce from Frank E. Sands in district court, on March 24. The decree was granted on the grounds of cruel and inhuman treatment, and the defendant did not appear in court to contest the case.
Schauer	Edith	vs	Schauer	John W	Mrs. Edith Schauer has filed a com- plaint in a divorce action in which she charges her hasband, John W. Schauer with desertion and cruel and inhuman treatment. The couple were married in Petruary, 1911, and have two small children of which the mother asks the custody.	2 Apr 1915 p1 The divorce case of Edith Schauer vs. John W. Schauer was heard in district court yeaterday, and the case taken under advisement.
Seifert	Mollie J	vs	Seifert	William H	2 Jul 1915 p5 Mrs. Mollie J. Seifert has filed complaint in an action for divorce, charging her husband, William II. Seifert, with cruelty. The couple were married in Columbia Palls on January 5, last. Mrs. Seifert asks that her maiden name, Mollie J. Grotte, be restored. Judge Erickson appears for the plaintiff,	

Small Louise vs Small Joseph E 23 Apr 1915 p6

Complaint in a divorce action was filed in the district court yesterday, is which the plaintin Mrs. Louise Small charges her husband, Joseph E. Small with describe and failure to provide although he is possessed of ample means to do so. The couple were married in Maryland in 1907, and have ne children. Thomas P. Swee of Honna, and P. B. Helnking of this city represent Mrs. Small.

27 Apr 1915 p3

ALIAS SUMMONS.

ALIAB BUMMONS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FLATHEAD.

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF MONTANA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
PLATHEAD.
Louise Small, Plaintiff, vs. Joseph E.
Small, Defendant.
The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named Defendants,
and to each of them:
You see hereby summoned to answer
the state of the service of the Clerk of this
County which is herewith
several upon one of you in each County
wherein any of you reside, and to fle
your nawver and serve a copy thereof
upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within
twenty days after the service of this
Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to
appear or answer, judgment will be
taken against you by default, for the
relief demanded in the Complaint.
This action is brought for the purpose of securing a divorce in favor of
the plaintiff and against the defendant upon the grounds of desertion and
will full neglect and said complaint alleges in substance that the plaintiff
and defendant were married at Barton,
since said date have been and still
ear husband and wife; that the plain
tiff has resided in the state of Mondiately preceding the commencement
of this action; that there are no children from said marriage and that on
or about March 1st, 1905, the defendant willfully and without cause of
and without cause has and still contined
and simple the commencement
of this action; that there are no children from said marriage and that on
or about March 1st, 1905, the defendand without cause has and still contined
over since has and still contined and
were since has and still contined
of the plaintiff with the common
necessities of life, he having been able
to do so.

Witness my hand and the Scal of said
court this 22nd day of April, 1915;

SAM M'NEELY,
Clerk of Court.
By R. N. EATON,
Deputy Clerk.
John P. Swee, Ronan, Montanas; F. R.
Reinking, Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Kalispell, Montana.

IJ vs Snell Lillian 3 Dec 1915 p8 Snell

Captain J. J. Snell has filed suit for divorce from his wife, Lillian Snell, alleging desertion. They were married spokane June 12, 1911, and have one child. Captain Snell states his will-inguess to provide for his child, Bren-nen & Kendall are attorneys for the plaintiff.

Ethel M vs Snyder 6 Aug 1915 p4 George E Snyder

10 Aug 1915 p4

Mrs. Ethel M. Snyder was granted a divorce from George Snyder, the hearing having been held August 7.

Mrs. Ethel M. Snyder has filed com-plaint in a divorce action from her husband, George E. Snyder, the well known Belton man. Mrs. Snyder alleges cruel and inhuman treatment, and asks that her maiden name, Ethel M. Moore, be restored. The couple were married about a year ago.

13 Aug 1915 p6 vs Soward John F

Mrs. Callie Soward has filed complaint in an action for divorce, in
which she charges her husband, Joha
F. Soward with cruelty, and the habitual looking upon the wine when it
is red, which has resulted in greamental and physical suffering to her.
She states that the defendant is cayable of earning \$90 per month, and
asks the court to grant her reasonable
alimony. The couple have no children.

Stanford leanette vs Stanford Charles G 27 Jul 1915 p6

Soward

Callie

A decree of divorce was granted Mrs. Jeanette Stanford on Saturday, the defendant Charles G. Stanford not contesting the action.

Stawsky	Aline	VS	Strawsky	Alexander	27 Jul 1915 p6
---------	-------	----	----------	-----------	----------------

Complaint in an action for divorce was filed Saturday by Mrs. Aline Stawsky, who alleges the Mrs. Aline Stawsky, who alleges the property of the Mrs. Alice Strawsky is guilty and Alexander Strawsky is guilty were support and desertion. The couple were married in Poland in 1802, and have four children, two minors, of which the mother asks the custody.

3 Aug 1915 p3

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventha Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Aline Stawsky, Plaintiff, vs. Alexan-der Stawsky, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greet-ings to the above named Defendants and to each of them:

ings to the above named Defendants and to each of them:

You are hereby summoned to answerthe Complaint in this action, which is filled in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each. County wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the services of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

This action is brought for the purpose of obtaining a decree of divorce in favor of plaintiff and against said defendant upon the grounds of willful desertion of the plaintiff by the defendant, and the further ground of non-support of said plaintiff by said defendant, and for the custody and control by the plaintiff of the minor children of plaintiff and defendant.

Witness my hand and Seal of said Court this 24th day of July, 1915.

SAM D. M'NEELY, Clerk of Court.

R. N. BATON, (Seal.)

Deputy Clerk, FOOT & MACDONALD, Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kalispell, Montana.

St Goddard Philomene vs St Goddard Osa 28 May 1915 p5

for divorce has been filed St. Goddard, who alleges and, Oss, is guilty of non-lessrition. The couple were ter Beaton, in 1889, and or child.

31 Aug 1915 p1 Sophia Claud Swick vs Swick

Sophia Swick has petitioned for di-vorce from Claud Swick, alleging failure to support herself and child, Shu alleges that the defendant is carning \$125 per month, and asks \$35 monthly allmony, besides attorney's fees and costs of suit. The plaintiff is represented by Attorney P. D. Lingenfelter.

Tharnber David H vs Tharnber Sarah J 28 Jan 1915 p6

David R. Tharnber has been granted ecree of divorce, the defendant, Sarah . Tharnber, failing to appear in the ction.

Hattie E vs Tingley 6 Apr 1915 p3 Tingley Chauncev L

Complaint in a divorce action was filed by Mrs. Hattie E. Tingley, on April 3. charging Chaucesy 6. Tingley with desertion and nonsupport. The couple water married in Spekane, July 9, 1902. Mrs. Tingley sales that her former name, Hattie Ames, be restored.

Trautman Twila Trautman Frederick 1 Jan 1915 p5

12 Nov 1915 p1 Volk Marjorie F vs Volk Frank

Marjorie F. Volk has filed suit for divorce from Frank.

17 Sep 1915 p6

Sophia Swick, who was granted a decree of divorce by default from Claude Swick, has head allowed \$33 monthly alimony, \$75 attorney's fees and \$25 costs by a court order issued today by Judge Erickson.

Wagner John W vs Wagner May 23 Apr 1915 p1 HUSBAND WANTS DIVORCE; CLAIMS WIPE WAS VICIOUS. Charme Wife was victors.

Charme Wife was victors.

Complaint in the diverce action was filed yesterday by John W. Wayner, who alleges that his wife May Wuther is gully of extreme crueity. If all the representation of the proven, it made by Wagner can be proven, it made to be claims that he is end with the claim of the proven, it was also proven from so delay by the take his life with a buther haife, of was analy prevented from so delay by the same of the history of the provention of the married in the Mission on the provention of the prove Webber Christina vs Webber Conrad 5 Jan 1915 p6 A divorce was granted yesterday to Mrs. Christina Webber from Conrad Webber, Cruel and inhuman treatment was charged. Williams vs Williams Dollie 19 Oct 1915 p2 George

George

Wyble

Louise A

vs Wyble

May 26.

Louise A. Wyble vs. George Wyble; divorce. Case transferred from fourth judicial district.

25 May 1915 p1

14 Dec 1915 p1

JURY AWARDS ALIMONY IN LUMP SUM

A diverce case occupied the attention of district court yesterday, Louise A. Wybis such as the second yesterday, Louise A. Wybis such as the second yesterday, Louise A. Wybis such as the second yesterday and the second her from her headand, whom, she alleged, had deserted her nad falled to previde for her. The plaintiff was represented by Attorneys hardow, of Missoula, and Hans Walchli of this city. Foot & McDonald elegants which was the second the defendant, Mr. McDonald conducting the case. The testimony showed that Wybie left his wife near Missoula and came here, where he secured work on a ranch. Mrs. Wybie testified that she here, where he secured work on a ranch. Mrs. Wybie testified that she halk of the west compelled to do the bulk of the security o