

Surname	Given	vs	Surname	Given	Publication Date	Publication Date
Anderson	Anette B	vs	Anderson	John W	16 Oct 1914 p8	
						
Anderson	Corval L	vs	Anderson	Olette	16 Oct 1914 p4	30 Oct 1914 p7
						
Beutel	Julius O	vs	Beutel	Loretta S	27 Jan 1914 p8	24 Apr 1914 p1
						
Blackbear	Birdie	vs	Blackbear	John	31 Mar 1914 p5	
						
Blanchard	Mae	vs	Blanchard	George	20 Mar 1914 p8	3 Jun 1914 p3
						

Campbell Maria A vs Campbell George

10 Mar 1914 p5

In the grounds of desertion, Mrs. Maria A. Campbell has filed action for divorce from her husband, George Campbell, the custody of her four year old son, Paul, and the restoration of her maiden name, Maria Cady. Noffsinger & Walshell represent the plaintiff, and complaint was filed yesterday. The couple were married in this city in July, 1901.

Capen Frances S vs Capen John

12 May 1914 p7

ALIAS SUMMONS
In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.
Frances S. Capen, Plaintiff, vs. John Capen, Defendant.
The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant and to each of them:
You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the County wherein you reside and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint. This action is brought to obtain a decree of divorce against the defendant upon the ground of willful desertion for more than one year last past, the said cause for divorce is fully set forth in the complaint filed herein, and to which said defendant is referred for further information.
Witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 2nd day of May, 1914.
SAM D. McNEELY,
Clerk of Court,
M. D. Baldwin, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kalspell, Montana.

Chapel Nellie vs Chapel Guy L

26 May 1914 p8

Action for divorce was begun yesterday at the court house by Nellie Chapel against her husband, Guy L. Chapel, the complaint being non-support and desertion.

Crouch Myrtle vs Crouch Charles W

15 Sep 1914 p8

Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Myrtle Crouch against Charles W. Crouch. The couple were married in Iowa in 1909. The plaintiff alleges cruelty and asks the custody of her two minor children, \$35 per month on which to support herself and the children, \$150 attorney's fees and temporary alimony in the sum of \$50 per month, pending the granting of the decree.

2 Oct 1914 p5

ROUGH DIVORCE CASE TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT
The taking of testimony of witnesses in the Crouch divorce case was concluded Wednesday evening. The court had taken the case under advisement, and up to noon today had rendered no decision. Attorneys state that this was one of the hardest contested divorce cases in the history of Flathead county. Mrs. Crouch asks the custody of her two children, and it was upon this point that the fight was made by the defense. Many witnesses were placed on the stand by both sides, and the case, which started Monday afternoon, occupied the attention of the court for two and one-half days.

9 Oct 1914 p1

DECISION REACHED IN CROUCH DIVORCE CASE.
A decree of divorce was granted to Mrs. Myrtle Crouch from her former husband, Charles W. Crouch, on October 7. The plaintiff is awarded the custody of her little daughter, Oona, while the other daughter, Pauline, remains with her father, and neither of the children can be taken from the state except with the consent of the court. The children are to be permitted to associate together as much as circumstances will allow, and each of the parties to the action is allowed to visit the child in the custody of the other at stated intervals. The decree directs that Mrs. Crouch shall be paid \$7.50 per month for the care of the child, and the sum of \$250 to be paid within 60 days. The defendant is to retain the property on First avenue north, but the defendant is given all household effects and is permitted to occupy the premises until the \$250 is paid. The couple were married in Jerome, Iowa, October 5, 1907. Mrs. Crouch was represented by T. A. Thompson and Mr. Crouch by Foot & McP.

Demerest Marguerite vs Demerest James

12 Jun 1914 p8

An action for divorce has been instituted by Mrs. Marguerite Demerest against James Demerest. The couple were married at Coeur D'Alene, Idaho, in August, 1900, and the plaintiff alleges that her husband deserted her in October, 1911. She asks that her maiden name, Marguerite Sullivan, be restored.

28 Jul 1914 p5

DIVORCE MILL GRINDING
The divorce mill was grinding yesterday, Mrs. Marguerite Demerest and Mrs. Lillian Korstad being given decrees. In the Demerest case the defendant, James Demerest, did not appear to contest the action. Judge Pomeroy appeared for the plaintiff. Mrs. Korstad was granted not only a divorce, but also the custody of her minor child, Margaret. The divorce was granted by default, the defendant, William Korstad, failing to appear or answer. Attorney A. L. Hughes represented Mrs. Korstad.

Donaldson Otto vs Donaldson Tillie 22 Sep 1914 p8

Action for the annulment of his marriage has been instituted by Otto Donaldson, who alleges that his wife, Tillie Donaldson, has another husband living. The plaintiff alleges that the marriage ceremony was performed in Great Falls in 1897, at which time he was led to believe that husband No. 1 was dead. In fact, he was so informed by the other party to the contract, and after living with her for a number of years he discovered that this was not the case, and furthermore, that she had never been divorced. J. P. Duffy appears for Donaldson.

Ellsworth Francis M vs Ellsworth Theresa J 16 Jan 1914 p1

**DIVORCE IS GRANTED
IN RECORD TIME**
Just four and one-half hours from the time of filing complaint to the granting of a decree of divorce was the record time made in district court last Tuesday. Francis M. Ellsworth the plaintiff, filed his complaint at 10 o'clock in the morning, and at 2:30 in the afternoon was released from the bonds of matrimony, his wife, Theresa J. Ellsworth, not appearing to contest the action. All necessary legal procedure was complied with, including the personal service of summons and the filing of a demurrer by the defendant, which was overruled. The charges in the complaint were of a very sensational nature.

Fessen (Fessler) Belle J vs Fessen (Fessler) Arthur H 3 Feb 1914 p8

Mrs. Belle J. Fessler of Polson, has filed an action for divorce from her husband, Henry A. Fessler. In her complaint Mrs. Fessler alleges desertion and non-support. The couple were married in Bellingham, Washington, in February, 1911. Attorney P. M. Wiseman appears for Mrs. Fessler.

13 Feb 1914 p5

The divorce action of Belle J. Fessler of Polson, against Arthur H. Fessler, was dismissed by the petition of the plaintiff, who showed that her husband had beaten her to it and secured a divorce in Washington some time ago.

ALIAS SUMMONS

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Hattie E. Feuerhelm, Plaintiff, vs.
Henry Feuerhelm, Defendant.

The state of Montana sends greetings to the above name defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint, which is for a decree of absolute divorce from you, and the custody of the minor children of the marriage, upon the grounds of your wilful desertion of plaintiff for more than one year last past, and for further particulars of said cause of action, reference is hereby made to the complaint of plaintiff, on file in this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 21st day of April, 1914.

SAM D. M'NEELY,
Clerk of Court.

R. N. EATON,
(Seal.) Deputy Clerk.

MERLE C. GROENE, Attorney for Plaintiff, Whitefish, Montana.

The action for divorce brought by Mrs. Laura Fine against Harry Fine, was heard in district court yesterday. The defendant did not appear, and the decree was granted by default.

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Ole G. Frederick and Oliver Frederick, Plaintiffs,
vs.
Ida Bowler, Arleta Bowler, Half Bowler, Guy Bowler, George Bowler, Arletta Heller, and unknown heirs of Eli Bowler, deceased, defendants.
Alias Summons.

The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendants, and to each of them:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each county wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiffs' attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Plaintiffs complain of the defendants and allege: First, that for more than one year last past plaintiffs have been and now are the owners in fee simple, in possession of, and entitled to the possession of, the following described land and premises situate and being in Flathead county, Montana, to-wit: Lots four and five of section six, township twenty-seven, north, range twenty-one west, M. M., and containing 70.40 acres more or less according to the government survey thereof. Second, that the said defendants and each and all of them claim an estate or interest in said land and premises either as grantees, heirs-at-law, by inheritance or otherwise, each and all of said claims of the said defendants are adverse to the plaintiff herein. Third, that each and all of the claims of said defendants, and each and all of them, both as to the defendants named and as to the heirs of Eli Bowler, deceased, whose names are unknown, is without

any right whatever, and the said defendants have not any estate right, title or interest whatever in said land or premises, or any part thereof, but the claims of said defendants constitute a cloud upon the title of these plaintiffs, and is without any right in law or equity.

WHEREFORE the plaintiffs pray:—

First, that the defendants and each of them may be required to set forth the nature of their claims, and that all adverse claims of the defendants and each of them may be determined by a decree of this court. Second, that by said decree it be decreed and declared that the defendants have no interest whatever in or to said land or premises, and that the title of plaintiffs is good and valid. Third, that the defendants and each and all of them be forever enjoined and debarred from asserting any claim whatever in or to said land or premises adverse to the plaintiffs, and for such other relief as to this honorable court shall seem meet and agreeable to equity, and for costs of suit.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 13th day of October, 1914.

(Seal) SAM D. M'NEELY,
Clerk of Said Court.

D. P. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kalispell, Montana.

ALIAS SUMMONS

In the District court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Ida May Gill, Plaintiff, vs. William G. Gill, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant and to each of them:

You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each County wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint, to-wit:

This action is brought to obtain a decree of divorce upon the grounds of willful desertion and willful neglect, said grounds for divorce existing for more than two years prior to filing the complaint herein, and for the custody of the minor children named in said complaint, all of which is more fully set forth in the complaint filed in this action and to which Complaint said Defendant is referred for further information.

Witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 22nd day of June, 1914.

SAM M'NEELY,
Clerk of Court

By R. N. BAYON,
Deputy Clerk

M. D. Baldwin, Attorney for Plaintiff
Kalispell, Montana.

L. L. Goddard yesterday filed complaint in a divorce action against his wife, Pearl Goddard. The couple were married in Missoula in 1910, and he alleges extreme cruelty.

Halliday	Gertrude	vs	Halliday	William	20 Mar 1914 p8	10 Apr 1914 p8	12 May 1914 p4
					<p>Mrs. Gertrude Halliday has filed complaint in an action in which she seeks divorce from William Halliday, alleging incompatibility. Mr. and Mrs. Halliday were married in Mankato, Minnesota in 1902, and have no children. P. D. Lingfelter appears for Mrs. Halliday.</p>	<p>The divorce action brought by Mrs. Gertrude Halliday against William F. Halliday, has been dismissed on motion of the plaintiff's attorney, P. D. Lingfelter.</p>	<p>HALLIDAY DIVORCE CASE TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT</p> <p>The action for divorce filed by Mrs. Gertrude Halliday against William Halliday, was heard in district court Monday, Judge Erickson taking the matter under advisement. Suit was instituted some time ago, and the case dismissed upon motion of the plaintiff's attorney. The matter was again brought into court, Mrs. Halliday alleging cruelty, and a demurred filed by the defendant Monday.</p>
Jones	Ruby Frances	vs	Jones	George E	10 Jul 1914 p5	17 Jul 1914 p7	
					<p>Complaint in a divorce action was filed yesterday by Mrs. Ruby Jones, who alleges that her husband, George E. Jones, is guilty of extreme cruelty. M. D. Baldwin appears for the plaintiff.</p>	<p>ALIAS SUMMONS</p> <p>In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.</p> <p>Ruby Frances Jones, Plaintiff, vs. Geo. E. Jones, Defendant.</p> <p>The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named Defendants and to each of them:</p> <p>You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each County wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint, viz: This action is brought to obtain a decree of divorce upon the ground of extreme cruelty and for all proper relief, all of which more fully appears in the complaint filed herein, and to which said defendant is referred for further information.</p> <p>Witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 9th day of July, 1914.</p> <p>(Seal) SAM D. McNEELY, Clerk of Said Court.</p> <p>M. D. Baldwin, Attorney for Plaintiff, Kalispell, Montana.</p>	
Korstad	Lillian	vs	Korstad	William	28 Jul 1914 p5		
					<p>DIVORCE MILL GRINDING</p> <p>The divorce mill was grinding yesterday, Mrs. Marguerite Demerest and Mrs. Lillian Korstad being given decrees. In the Demerest case the defendant, James Demerest, did not appear to contest the action. Judge Pomeroy appeared for the plaintiff. Mrs. Korstad was granted not only a divorce, but also the custody of her minor child, Margaret. The divorce was granted by default, the defendant, William Korstad, failing to appear or answer. Attorney A. L. Hughes represented Mrs. Korstad.</p>		
LeBeau		vs	Le Beau		27 Oct 1914 p5		
					<p>...cruelty and non-support,Le Beau has filed an answerdivorce from her husband,Le Beau. The couple were mar-ried a year ago.</p>		
Leslie	Lila L	vs	Leslie	Charles C	24 Oct 1914 p8		
					<p>Action for a divorce was started on October 20 by Mrs. Lila L. Leslie, who charges her husband, Charles C. Leslie with desertion and non-support.</p>		
Lewis	Belle	vs	Lewis	Halmar	10 Jul 1914 p1		
					<p>MRS. LEWIS GRANTED DIVORCE</p> <p>A hearing of the divorce action of Mrs. Belle Lewis against Halmar Lewis was held in district court Wednesday, and the plaintiff granted the decree, which permits her to resume her former name of Belle Winnett. Mr. Lewis did not appear to contest the action. Mrs. Lewis was represented by Judge Smith.</p>		

Lloyd Carrie J vs Lloyd William H 17 Mar 1914 p5

Complaint in a divorce action was filed today by Mrs. Carrie J. Lloyd, who alleges that her husband, William H. Lloyd is guilty of extreme cruelty and desertion. The plaintiff asks that her former name of Carrie Redmond be restored. The couple were married in this city in April 1909, and have no children.

Mack Gertrude vs Mack Peter 27 Jan 1914 p4

DIVORCE GRANTED—HUSBAND GIVEN CUSTODY OF CHILDREN

A decree of divorce has been granted to Mrs. Gertrude Mack by default, the defendant, Peter Mack, failing to appear to contest the action. The custody of the three minor children, Julia, Charles and Peter Mack is awarded to the defendant, with the provision that he shall support and educate them in accordance with his financial condition and their mother is to have the right to visit them during school vacation, and for thirty days between the spring and fall terms of school. Mrs. Mack is awarded alimony in the sum of \$1,600 in full of all claims in the community property. Extreme cruelty was named as the grounds for divorce.

Maple Anna C vs Maple Leonard A 6 Oct 1914 p5

Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Anna C. Maple, who charges her husband Leonard A. Maple with cruelty and non-support. The couple were married in Portland, in 1911 and have one minor child, of whom Mrs. Maple asks the custody. She resides at Whitefish.

McCarthy vs McCarthy Ellen 13 Feb 1914 p5

Extreme cruelty is charged by Dennis McCarthy in an action for divorce which he filed against Ellen McCarthy on February 11. McCarthy alleges in his complaint that his wife used vile, obscene and profane language in addressing him, and on Christmas day of last year hit him with a stove poker. The couple were married in Minneapolis in 1889, and have three children, all of legal age. T. A. Thompson appears for the plaintiff.

McKay Viola vs McKay Reginald H 17 Jul 1914 p7

13 Oct 1914 p8

ALIAS SUMMONS

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Viola McKay, Plaintiff, vs. Reginald H. McKay, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named Defendants and to each of them:

You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each County wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint, to-wit: This action is brought to obtain a decree of divorce upon the ground of willful neglect, the complaint alleging that for more than six years last past, said Defendant has failed to provide the plaintiff with the common necessaries of life, he having the ability so to do, but for his idleness, as well as dissolute and profligate habits, and that the parties hereto have lived apart from each other for the past three years or more, all of which is more fully set forth in the complaint filed in this action, and to which said Defendant is referred for further information.

Witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 15th day of July, 1914.

SAM D. McNEELY,
Clerk of said Court.

M. D. Baldwin, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kalispell, Montana.

Mrs. Viola McKay was granted a divorce today from Reginald McKay. The defendant did not appear to contest the action, and Mrs. McKay was granted the custody of her minor son, Allen. Non-support was charged.

Mitton Gertrude vs Mitton John J 2 Jan 1914 p8

Mrs. Gertrude Mitton has been granted a divorce from John J. Mitton, the defendant not appearing to contest the decision. Desertion was the cause named in the complaint.

Moses Effie vs Moses William H Sep 25 1914 p8

16 Oct 1914 p8

Complaint in a divorce action was filed September 22 by Mrs. Effie Moses, who alleges that her husband, William H. Moses, has failed to provide for her properly. The couple were married in Salt Lake City in May, 1910. Mrs. Moses asks that her maiden name of Effie Jones be restored.

A decree of divorce was granted yesterday to Mrs. Effie Moses, the defendant, William H. Moses not appearing to contest the action.

Nelson Gertie vs Nelson Otis 10 Mar 1913 p8

A decree of divorce was granted to Mrs. Gertie Nelson yesterday, her husband, Otis Nelson failing to defend the action. W. T. McKeown appeared for the plaintiff.

Parker George A vs Parker Helen I 27 Jan 1914 p8

On the grounds of cruel and inhuman treatment, George A. Parker has been granted a divorce from Helen I. Parker. The decree was granted by default, the defendant failing to appear or answer.

Paul Flora B vs Paul Albert 14 Apr 1914 p8

Cruel and inhuman treatment and non-support are the charges made by Mrs. Flora B. Paul against her husband, Albert Paul, in an action for divorce, which was filed April 13. The couple were married in Alberta in August last. Mrs. Paul asks that her maiden name, Flora B. McKelvey, be restored.

Quinleven Ethel M vs Quinleven Simon 16 Oct 1914 p8

Mrs. Ethel M. Quinleven yesterday filed complaint in an action for divorce from Simon Quinleven. The couple were married in McLeod, Alberta, in May, 1912. Mrs. Quinleven charges cruelty and non-support and asks that her maiden name of Ethel M. Lanford be restored. H. G. Pomeroy appears as her attorney.

Rolf vs Rolf George 8 Sep 1914 p5

... was granted a divorce from her husband, George Rolf. ... treatment was all ... defendant did not appear in the action. Mr. Rolf is an engineer and resides ...

Shelly Mary S vs Shelly John D 16 Jan 1914 p8

A divorce was granted in the case of Mary S. Shelly vs. John D. Shelly on January 14.

Sisley A B vs Sisley Adeline 12 Jun 1914 p5

Through his attorney, M. C. Gronese, B. Sisley has filed action for divorce against his wife, Adeline Sisley, alleging cruelty and inhuman treatment.

12 Jun 1914 p8

ALIAS SUMMONS
In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead,
A. B. Sisley, Plaintiff, vs. Adeline Sisley, Defendant.
The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named Defendants and to each of them:
You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each County where in any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which is for a divorce from you, upon the ground of extreme cruelty, towards plaintiff, existing and persisted in by you for more than one year immediately preceding the commencement of this action, which justly and reasonably is of such a nature and character as to destroy the peace of mind of plaintiff, and for further particulars of said cause of action, you are hereby referred to the verified complaint of plaintiff on file in this action.
Witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 12th day of June, 1914.
(Seal) SAM D. M'NEELY, Clerk of Court.
Merle C. Gronese, Attorney for Plaintiff, Whitefish, Montana.

Thomsen vs Thomsen James A 27 Feb 1914 p5

... charge of non-support, Mrs. ... Thomsen has filed action for ... against her husband, Charles ... In her complaint the ... states that she was married ... 1909, and that she has one ... James A. Thomsen, three years ... she claims that her husband ... failed to support her and ... and she asks for a divorce ... of the baby.

Ulrey Allen vs Ulrey Becca 16 Jan 1914 p5

On the grounds of desertion a divorce was today granted to Allen Ulrey. The defendant, Becca Ulrey, did not appear, and the decree was granted by default.

Wood Eugene L vs Wood B Myrl 6 Mar 1914 p1

DENIED DIVORCE ON AMENDED COMPLAINT
Eugene L. Wood was granted a divorce from B. Myrl Wood Wednesday morning, the defendant failing to appear to contest the case. The complaint was so amended as to eliminate charge of adultery, and the divorce was granted on the grounds of ... and inhuman treatment. Wood ... that his wife scratched, beat ... hit him, which was corroborated ...

Wybee Louise A vs Wybee George 3 Nov 1914 p6

The divorce action of Mrs. Louise A. Wybee against George Wybee has been filed in the district court on transcript from the fourth judicial district, the parties to the action being residents of Missoula. Mrs. Wybee alleges desertion and non-support. She asks the custody of her step daughter Helen Wybee, and \$75 per month alimony for the support of herself and the child.

Yeates Ella vs Yeates F O 3 Jul 1914 p5

A divorce was granted yesterday to Mrs. Ella Yeates from P. O. Yeates, on the charge of cruelty.

Young Anna vs Young William A 20 Mar 1914 p8

Mrs. Anna Young seeks separation from William A. Young, alleging no support and desertion. According to the complaint the couple were married in Watertown, South Dakota, in June, 1890, and have three minor children, of whom the wife asks custody. C. W. Pomeroy appears for the plaintiff.

24 Mar 1914 p7

SUMMONS
In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.
Anna M. Young, plaintiff, vs. William A. Young, defendant.
The State of Montana to the above-named defendant:
You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.
This is an action for divorce on the grounds of wilful neglect and wilful desertion.
Witness my hand and the seal of said Court this twentieth day of March, one thousand nine hundred fourteen.
SAM D. McNEELY,
Clerk.
C. W. POMEROY, Kalispell, Montana,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

5 May 1914 p5

Mrs. Anna M. Young was granted a divorce from William A. Young in the district court on Monday. The decree provides that Mrs. Young shall have the custody of her two minor children.