Surname Allen	Given Nancy	vs vs	Surname Allen	<b>Given</b> Richard	Publication Date 9 Jul 1913 p3  Namey Aften has started suit against Richard Aften for divorce, on the grounds of neglect. It is alleged the defondant left his wife and four small children without means of support.	
Anderson	William	VS	Anderson	Elizabet	21 Oct 1913 p8  Complaint in divorce action entitled William Anderson versus Elizabeth Anderson, was filed October 17. The couple were married in St. Paul in April, 1901, and the plaintif claims that his wife deserted him in March, 1907. J. F. Henley of Columbia Falls appears for the plaintiff.	18 Nov 1913 p8  William Anderson was granted a divorce from Elizabet Anderson on November 14. The defendant did not appear in court.
Arias	Lulu	VS	Arias	Joshua	4 Feb 1913 p8  Lulu Ariss, thru her attorney, W. T. McKown, filed suit for divorce from Joshua Ariss.	
Ashley	Mary	VS	Ashley	Alex	10 Jan 1913 p10  Mary Louise Ashley has filed suit for divorce from Alex Ashley, charging non-support.	
Benton	Genevieve M	vs	Benton	Gilbert E	Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Genevieve M. Benton against Gilbert E. Benton. The plaintiff allages cruel and inhuman treatment and asks for the custody of three minor children, together with such other relief as the court may deem proper. The couple were married in Wyoming in 1903.	
Bryan	Ruth A	vs	Bryan	Edgar Elwell	16 Dec 1913 p8  A divorce was granted Mrs. Ruth A. Bryan from Edgar Elwell Bryan on December 12. Nonsupport was charged, and the decree was granted by default.	
Buckingham	Bessie	vs	Buckingham	Thomas C	Complaint has been filed in district court by Bessie Buckingham, charging her husband, Thomas C. Buckingham with non-support, and asking that she be granted a divorce and the custody of her two minor children.	
Burgwald	FC	vs	Burgwald	Sue E	A decree of divorce was granted Friday to F. C. Burgwald from Sue E. Burgwald.	
Cramer	Charlotte L	vs	Cramer	El	Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Charlotte L. Cramer against E. J. Cramer. The plaintiff charges cruel and inhuman treatment, and asks the custody of of an adopted minor child, Alma B. Cramer.	

Englehorn	Elmer G	vs	Englehorn	Margaret Tracy	A divorce was granted to Elmer G. Englehorn from Margaret Tracy Engelhorn on October 22. The defendant did not appear to contest thee ase.	
Flynn	Maggie S	vs	Flynn	John W	Complaints in two actions for divorce have been filed in the district court. Maggie 8. Flynn vs. John W. Flynn, and Hazel S. Squires vs. Whnifred F. Squires are the titles of the cases. Desertion is named as the cause of the complaint in both instances.	
Gaines	Mary	VS	Gaines	Eugene W	Complaint in an action for divorce was filed in district court today by Mary Gaines against Eugene W. Gaines. The plaintiff alleges nonsupport and crucity, and asks for the custody of her minor child, Wifred Edward Gaines. The couple were married in this city November 19, 1909.	
Gardner		vs	Gardner	Elias C	22 Apr 1913 p5  divorce has been grant— M. Gardner vs. Ellas C. Faintiff retains custody of	
Gregory	Leah L	VS	Gregory	Harry G	Yesterday complaint was filed in the case of Lenh I. Gregory against Harry G. Gregory. The instrument sets forth that the couple were married in this city July 2, 1911, and the defendant is charged with non-support and desertion. The court is asked to grant the divorce, and such other relife as may be deemed proper under the circumstances, and also that former name of the plaintiff, Leah I. Drake, be restored.	
Grant	Mabel E	vs	Grant	Ernest A	2 Dec 1913 p5  Grant from Ernest A.  Grant f	
Groot	Frances E	vs	Groot	Edward	23 May 1913 p3  [Implaint in a divorce action en- [Frances E, Groot vs. Edward [t, was filed in district court May ] Attorney J. E. Rockwood appears	15 Aug 1913 p4  MRS. FRANCE IS G  A decree of d district court

Attorney J. E. Rockwood appears the plaintiff, who asks for the de-on the grounds of desertion.

MRS. FRANCES GROOT

IS GRANTED A DIVORCE

A decree of divorce was granted in
district court yesterday to Mrs.
Frances Groot from Edward Groot, desertion and non-support being named as
the grounds. She is a daughter of
Bobert McFaiden of Smith valley,
where she is well and favorably
known, was awarded the custody of
her minor child, Francis Marion Groot.
J. E. Rockwood appeared for the
plaintiff.

Hardie	Daisy M	vs	Hardie	George W	Dalsy M. Hardie vs. George W. Hardie is the title of the action filed today. Mrs. Hardies complaint sets forth that she and the defendant were married in Kalispell May 3, 1910, and non-support and desertion are charged. Two minor children are the result of the union, of which the plaintiff asks to be granted the custody.	
Harrow	Frank Morris	vs	Harrow	Flossie Slover	SUES TO ANNUL MARRIAGE OF HIS MINOR DAUGHTER  Alvin Slover has filed suit for annument of marriage against Frank Morris Harrow, his son-in-law of two weeks. Slover sets forth in the ac- tion, that his daughter, Flossie, is and was at the time of her marriage, but 17 years of age. He also alleges that Harrow, her husband, is but 19 and that he secured the license without the consent of parents or guardian. Notice of the suit was served on the young man Wednesday.	
Holcomb	Kate J	VS	Holcomb	George D	21 Oct 1913 p8  Mrs. Rate J. Holcomb filed action, asking for divorce from George D. Holcomb on October 17. The complaint sets forth that the couple were narried in Wisconsin on December 16, 1911, and that immediately thereafter Holcomb deserted his wife. Desertion and non-support are named as causes of action. J. E. Henley appears for Mrs. Holcomb.	18 Nov 1913 p8  A divorce was granted Saturday to Mrs. Kate J. Holcomb from George D. Helcomb.
Julian	Mary	vs	Julian	Peter	MRS. JULIAN WANTS DIVORCE  Complaint in a divorce action, entitled Mary Julian vs. Peter Julian was filed in district court June 3. The document states that the couple were married on December 12, 1908, having been previously married and divorced, and that two minor children, Michael and Rosa, are the issue of the previous marriage. Mrs. Julian charges cruel and inhuman treatment, including the use of vile language in the presence of the chilren, and states that on May 24 the defendant assaulted her with a butcherknife, cutting one of her hands.	
Kirk	Grace M	vs	Kirk	Alexander	A divorce action has been instituted by Mrs. Grace M. Kirk against Alexander Kirk. The plaintiff charges non-suport and asks that she be granted the custody of her minor son and permanent alimony in the sum of \$25 per month for the support of herself and child. The defendant, through his actorney, has today filed an answer and cross-complaint. W. T. McKeown appears for Mrs. Kirk.	is defendant, and each of at the action are to con- per mosth to the support Thempson & Thompson

Leavitt Stella vs Leavitt Michael E 12 Sep 1913 p5

thit is divorce action has id by Mrs. Stella Leavitt Mehael E. Leavitt. The two manners of the mental at Creston, in all, sal have one minor child. All have one minor child. Stellar on the mental stellar on the mental stellar of the child, and suitable mass. T. A. Thompson aper Kn. Leavitt.

Long Thomas D vs Long Vesta 5 Sep 1913 p5

A decree of divorce has been grant ed in the district court in the case of Thomas D. Long vs. Vesta Long.

Mack	Gertrude	vs	Mack	Peter	MRS. MACK ASKS DIVORCE  An action has been filed in distinct court by Mrs. Gertrude Mack, asting that she be granted a decree of divors the custody of her four minor children \$500 attorney's fees, and \$50 rement alimony. In her complaint Mrs. Mack claims that her husband, Peter Mach has been guilty of cruel and inhust treatment. She also alleges that and her husband jointly accumulated and own a 160 acre farm, valued at \$5500, and personal property to the extent of \$2000. She states that he has sent her some money, but has set kept strict account of this; that whe had remained at home and managed the farm, doing considerable manual laber herself, but that her husband is distantisfied with her efforts in this respect.	
McCarthy	Dennis	VS	McCarthy	Ellen	Dennis McCarthy has instituted divorce proceedings against his wife, Ellen McCarthy, T. D. Long is attorney for the plaintiff.	
McGovern	Mabel	VS	McGovern	Bernard	Complaint in a divorce action has been filed by Mrs. Mabel McGovern against Bernard McGovern. The couple were married in this city in January, 1911, and desertion and non-support are charged by the plaintiff.	2 Dec 1913 p8  On the gro of divorce h Mable McGov band, Bernard
Meyers	Vasti	vs	Meyers	Edward	HEARING OF DIVORCE.  Next Monday morning at 10 o'clock is the time set for the hearing of the divorce case of Vashti Meyers vs. Edward Meyers. The charges set forth by the plaintiff are revolting and tell of brutal treatment and threats to take her life, made by the defendant. The Meyers family live in the lower valley near Somers and came to the Flathead about a year ago from Missourl.  The plaintiff asks for temporary alimony of \$100 per month, the custody of her three minor children, a just share of property valued at \$20,000, \$500 for costs of suit and \$1,000 attorneys fees. Thompson and Stout are conducting the case for the plaintiff.	
Mitton	Gertrude	vs	Mitton	John J	A direct action entitled Gertrude than x. John J. Mitton has been than x. John J. Mitton has been gather court. The plaintiff in fed is district court. The plaintiff in the complaint alleges that she and the tereists were married in this city many 20, 1911, and that he deserted as no November 24, 1912. There are the mall children of which the mother ab the custody.	

Nelson

Gertie

VS

Nelson

Otis

11 Aug 1913 p8

Complaint in a divorce action was filed August 9 by Gortle Nelson against Otis Nelson, descrition being charged. The couple were married in this city in April 1911. Mrs. Nelson asks that her former name, Gertie Foss, be restored.

On the ground of descrition a decree of divorce has been granted to Mrs. Mable McGovern from her former husband, Bernard McGovern.

Neville	Susie	VS	Neville	Charles F	18 Aug 1913 p3  some fer divorce was begun in the east cent last Saturday by Susie yeale, against Charles F. Neville, were married in December, 1908, are resided at Jessup since them remains for divorce are cruel and man treatment. The custody of manifer children is asked by the east of the parties of the
Proctor	Allea M	VS	Proctor	Hanson S	A divorce action entitled Alice M. Proofor vs. Ranson S. Protor has been filed in district court. The complaint states that the couple were married in Navember, 1899, and alleges eruel and inhuman treatment on the part of the detendant. The couple have four union children, of when Mrs. Proctor asks the custody. The plaint in the neighborhood of \$13,000, and asks that she he granted an allowance of \$15, per month for the support of herself and, children; also \$250 attorney's fees.
Rose	Loretta	VS	Rose	Frank	23 Jul 1913 p5  iemphist filed in court yester- it Leretts Rose claims deser- ar case for legal separation is habad, Frank Rose. The two married in Bonners Perry, Ibrary 7, 1912. W. T. Me- tipean for the plaintiff.
Schaefer	Lou	vs	Schaefer	Agnes E	19 Sep 1913 p3  specified in a divorce action was specified in the Style March, 1907, and in this city March, 1907, initial alleges that his wire without just case at their specified in September of that
Shelley	Mary	VS	Shelley	John D	Extreme cruelty is the grounds for divorce in an action filed by Mrs. Mary Shelley against John D. Shelley. The plaintiff states that she was married to Shelley in the state of Missouri in 1802. She asks divorce and the custody of two minor children, Irene, aged 15 and Harry, aged 9. The complaint states that a settlement of community property has been effected out of court. Thomas D. Long appears for Mrs. Shelley.
Slover	Flossie	VS	Harrow	Frank	In the district court this week, the recent marriage of Flossic Slover to Frank Harrow was dissolved, the action being brot by the father of the gtrl. Both the bride and groom are not of age and had not the consent of their parents.
Squires	Hazel S	VS	Squires	Winnifred F	Complaints in two actions for divorce have been filed in the district court. Maggie S. Flynn vs. John W. Flynn, and Hazel S. Squires vs. Whnifred F. Squires are the titles of the cases. Descriton is named as the cause of the complaint in both instances.

Stevens	Mary	VS	Stevens	Jesse M	as divorce was granted as Mr. Mary Stevens from J. Jesse M. Stevens. The state appear in court. I may of Whitefish appeared wiff.
Stewart	Geneva A	vs	Stewart	Robert S	Geneva A. Stewart vs. Robert S. Stewart is the title of a divorce action filed July 18. The Stewarts were married at the Holy Pamily mission, in Teton county, May S. 1803. Mrs. Stewart alleges nonsupport and robe the custody of thre minor children, claiming that her husband is not a fit person, on account of dissolute habits. Noffsinger & Walchli appear for the plaintiff.
Sween	Marilou	vs	Sween	Olaf J	Marilou Sween was yesterday grant- d a divorce from Olaf J. Sween, her maiden name of Wright being re- stored.
Tetley	Chalotte T	vs	Tetley	William R	Chalotte T. Tetley vs. William R. Tetley is the title of a divorce action filed in district court yesterday. The complaint states that the couple were married in Fort Worth, Texas, in March, 1908. Desertion is charged, and the plaintiff asks that she be granted the custody of her child, Lloyd Tetley, who is now four years old.
Thomas	Hazel	VS	Thomas	Harold	In a complaint filed July 23 Hazel Thomas asks for a legal separation from her husband Harold Thomas, cruel and inhuman treatment being charged. The plaintiff alleges that Thomas heat and choked her, and used vile and abusive language in addressing her at sundry and diverse times during their wedded life. She asks the court to grant her the custody of her two minor children, as she claims the father is not fit person to have the care of them. J. E. Rockwood appears for Mrs. Thomas.

## SUMMONS

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathend.

Allen Ulrey, Plaintiff vs. Beeca Ulrey, Defendant, The state of Montana sends greetings

The state of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant:
You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day or service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint. complaint.

complaint.

Complaint alleges: Plaintiff and defendant were intermarried at Delphi, Indiana, April 14th, 1896; that for more than one year last past plaintiff has been and is now a bonn fide resident of the state of Montana, and that on or about the 1st day of April, 1911, defendant, disregarding the solemnity of her marriage vow wilfully and without cause deserted and abandoned the plaintiff, and ever since has and still continues to so wilfully and without cause desert and abandon plaintiff and to live separate and apart from plaintiff without any sufficient cause or reason and against his will, and without his consent; that defendant has been guilty of cruel and inhuman treatment and thereby inflicted grevious mental suffering upon plaintif by course of conduct on her part to-

wards, and treatment of her by plaintiff, which justly and unreasonably was and is of such a nature and character as to destroy plaintiff's peace of mind and happiness, and to entirely defeat the proper and legitimate object of marriage, and to render the continuance of the marriage relation between Ne parties herto perpetually unreasonable and intolerable to plaintiff; that her said conduct was of such a nature as to make it perpetually unreasonable and intolerable for plaintiff to longer live with said defendant; and the ac-tion is brought for the purpose of disas to destroy plaintiff's peace of mind

solving the bonds of matrimony now existing between plaintiff and defendant. For further particulars you are hereby referred to the verified complaint on file herein.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 28th day of November,

SAM D. MeNEELY,

Clerk of said Court.

By R. N. EATON,

NOFFSINGER & WALCHLI,
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kalispell,
Montana.

Nellie Ward Ward James A VS

4 Jun 1913 p2

Nellie Ward of Bigfork has filed action for divorce from James A. Ward, and for title to lot 4, block 6, Bigfork. Brennan & Kendall are attorneys for the plaintiff.

Watt	Samel J	vs	Hoftell	Rose B	28 Oct 1913 p5
					S. J. WATT ASKS  ANNULMENT OF MARRIAGE An netlon to annul the marriage of Samel J. Watt to Rose B. Hoftell or Rose B. Watt, was filed in district court today.  In his complaint Watt alleges that at the time of his marriage, Mrs. Watt was then the legal wife of Los Hottell, to whom she was married in Wiscon- sin in 1890, she saving failed to go through the formality of securing a divorce from hashand No. 1. Watt's marriage occurred in Cutbank in No- vember, 1908, and he and the defend- ant lived together as man and wife uctil December, 1911 when he discover- ed that she was already the wife of another man.
Woulf	Lydia	VS	Woulf	Wallace	26 May 1913 p7
					In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.  Lydia Woolf, Plaintiff, vs. Wallace Woolf, Defendant.  The State of Montana to the abovenamed defendant:  You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiffs attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.  This is an action for divorce on the ground of wiful neglect.  Witness my hand and the seal of said court this fourteenth day of May, A. D. one thousand nine hundred thirteen.  SAM D. MONEELLY, (Seal)  Clerk. Charles W. Pomeroy, Kalispell, Montana, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Adah

Young

Robert M

Young

A decree of divorce was granted on December 15 to Robert M. Young from Adah Young. Desertion was charged, and the defendant did not appear to contest the action.

16 Dec 1913 p8

12 Dec 1913 p5

MARRIAGE IS ANNULED; DEFENDANT FAILS TO APPEAR

A decree annulling the marriage of Samuel J. Watt to Rose B. Wait, or more properly speaking, to Rose B. Hoftel, was granted in district court on December 9, the defendant failing to appear. Watt was married to Mrs. Hoftell in Cutbank in November, 1908, although at that time she was already the wife of Lon Hoftell, to whom she was married in Wisconsin in 1896, the marriage still being in force and effect at the time she married Watt. Husband No. 2 did not discover the true state of affairs until December, 1911, when he left the woman, and brought suit to annul his marriage a few weeks ago.