

Surname **Given** **vs** **Surname** **Given** **Publication Date**
Bottolfson Martha vs Bottolfson Adolph 11 Jul 1911 p1

FOUR DIVORCES FILED.

Four divorce suits were filed in the district court last week, as follows: Rena McCallum vs. Alex. McCallum; Martha Bottolfson vs. Adolph Bottolfson; Esta K. Scott vs. J. T. Scott, and Edward F. Haggerty vs. Aretta Haggerty.

Surname **Given** **vs** **Surname** **Given** **Publication Date**
Edler Sophia vs Edler Frederick 28 Nov 1911 p8

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Sophia Edler, Plaintiff,
vs.
Frederick Edler, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greeting to the above named defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of the court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county where you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint which is for a decree of divorce dissolving the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant, on the ground that defendant was on the 30 day of February, 1911, by this court duly convicted of a felony, and was by said court sentenced to be confined in the state's prison at Deer Lodge, Montana, for a period of five years; for the custody of four minor children, to-wit: Therese Edler, Fritz Edler, Gertrude Edler and Nellie Edler, and for plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 28th day of November, 1911.
—Sam D. McNeely,
(SEAL) Clerk of said Court.
Thompson & Stout, Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kallispell, Montana.

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

ISABELL GRANT, Plaintiff,
 vs
WILLIAM R. GRANT, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint. That this action is brot for the purpose of obtaining a decree of divorce, in the above entitled court and cause, by said plaintiff from said defendant, upon the following grounds and as alleged in plaintiff's complaint in said action, as follows, to-wit:

First—That said plaintiff and said defendant intermarried at Great Falls, in the county of Cascade and state of Montana, on or about the 26th day of January, 1900, and ever since have been, and now are, husband and wife.

Second—That said plaintiff now is, and has been, a resident of the said state of Montana for more than one year immediately preceding the commencement of this action.

Third—That on or about the 19th day of April, 1908, the said defendant, disregarding the solemnity of his marriage vow or contract with said plaintiff, wilfully and without cause deserted and abandoned said plaintiff, and with the intent to so do, and ever since has, and still continues to, so wilfully and without cause and with the intent, to desert and abandon said plaintiff, and their children, and to live separate and apart from her, without any sufficient cause or reason, and against her will, and without her consent.

Fourth—That said plaintiff asks for the care and custody of the two minor children, the issue of said marriage, viz: William R. Grant and Margaret Grant; and for the costs of said action and for general relief. To which said complaint you are hereby referred for further particulars.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 20th day of September, 1911.

(SEAL) —Sam D. McNeely,
 Clerk of said Court.

By R. N. Eaton, Deputy Clerk.
 M. B. Conrow, Attorney for Plaintiff,
 Kalispell, Montana.

WISED UP QUICK.

Helena, April 10.—Married at Kalispell January 4 of this year, Martha M. Hagenback has brot suit for divorce against Frank Hagenback, on the ground that he is and was a fugitive from justice, a fact which caused her to leave him immediately when she learned it, February 11.

The plaintiff alleges the easy manners and voluble tongue of the defendant, coupled with her own ignorance of the world, led her into the marriage. She is 22 years old. She asks for a decree of divorce, and the restoration of her maiden name of Martha M. Hodgkinson.

Haggerty Edward F vs Haggerty Aretta 11 Jul 1911 p1

FOUR DIVORCES FILED.
Four divorce suits were filed in the district court last week, as follows: Rena McCallum vs. Alex. McCallum; Martha Bottolfson vs. Adolph Bottolfson; Esta K. Scott vs. J. T. Scott, and Edward F. Haggerty vs. Aretta Haggerty.

Hug Maud vs Hug Peter 17 Feb 1911 p6

Maud Hug was granted a divorce in the district court Wednesday from Fred Hug. The defendant failed to appear.

Johnston Wiliam F vs Johnston Lillian G 3 Nov 1911 p8

ALIAS SUMMONS.
In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.
WILLIAM F. JOHNSTON, Plaintiff,
vs.
LILLIAN G. JOHNSTON, Defendant.
The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant.
You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.
Plaintiff complains of the defendant and alleges:
1. That for more than one year last past the plaintiff has been and now is a resident of Flathead county, Montana.
2. That plaintiff and defendant were intermarried at Henderson, Michigan, December 10, 1900, and ever since have been and now are husband and wife, and the issue of said marriage consists of three children, as follows: Ethel V., grown; Edith V., grown and married, and Myrtle E. now of the age of 16 years, and now living with her grandmother, at Petoskey, Michigan.
3. That plaintiff has always been towards defendant a dutiful husband, but that the defendant, unmindful of her marriage obligations, has for more than one year last past wilfully and unlawfully deserted and abandoned the

plaintiff, against his will, and without his consent, and has so refused to live with the plaintiff during all of said time and still refuses, though plaintiff has at all times furnished a home for defendant, and has sought to have her live with him. Wherefore plaintiff prays judgment for a decree of divorce herein, and for such other and further relief as to the court may seem just and equitable, and for his costs herein.

Witness my hand and seal of said court this 2d day of November, 1911.
(SEAL) —Sam D. McNeely,
Clerk of said Court.
D. F. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kallispell, Montana.

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventh
Judicial District of the State of
Montana, in and for the County of
Flathead.

Victoria La Franbouis, Plaintiff.

vs.

Baptiste La Franbouis, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greetings
to the above named defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer
the complaint in this action, which 's
filed in the office of the clerk of this
court, a copy of which is herewith
served upon you in the county wherein
you reside, and to file your answer
and serve a copy thereof upon the
plaintiff's attorney within twenty days
after the service of this summons, ex-
clusive of the day of service; and in
case of your failure to appear or
answer, judgment will be taken against
you, by default, for the relief demand-
ed in the complaint.

Plaintiff complains of the defendant
and alleges:

First—That for more than one year
last past plaintiff and defendant have
been and now are residents of the
state of Montana.

Second—That plaintiff and defend-
ant were intermarried at St. Ignatius,
Montana, in February, 1896, and ever
since have been and now are husband
and wife.

Third—That the issue of said mari-
riage consists of one child, Joe La
Franbouis, aged 11 years.

Fourth—That plaintiff has always
been towards defendant a dutiful wife,
but that defendant unmindful of his
marriage obligation has for more than
one year last past without cause or
provocation, and against the will, and
without the consent of the plaintiff
wilfully and unlawfully neglected and
failed to provide for her and her minor
child the common necessaries of life,
he having the ability so to do, and the
said defendant continues so to do.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays judgment
for a decree of divorce herein and for
her costs.

Witness my hand and the seal of
said court, this 12th day of August,
1911.

(SEAL)

—Sam D. McNeely,

Clerk of said Court.

By R. N. Eaton, Deputy Clerk.

D. F. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kallispell, Montana.

Alias Summons.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Mary Marcy, Plaintiff, vs. Clarence Marcy, Defendant.

The state of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendants and to each of them:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of the court, a copy of which is herewith served upon one of you in each county wherein any of you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.

That said action is brought to secure a decree of divorce by the above named plaintiff on the ground that the defendant herein on the 25th day of March, 1910, totally disregarding his marriage contract and vow with this plaintiff did wilfully, voluntarily and without just cause desert and abandon this plaintiff and ever since said date and still continues to wilfully, voluntarily and without any cause therefore desert, abandon and live separate and apart from her; that the said defendant on the aforesaid date left and abandoned this plaintiff without informing her where he was going and that for more than one year last past has continued to so abandon this plaintiff.

That there are two minor children now living as the issue of said marriage to-wit: One boy named Charles Amos Marcy, three years old, and one boy, William Ray Marcy, age eight months, and that plaintiff herein asks for the care and custody of said minor children; that the defendant is the owner of the following described real estate, to-wit: The east one-half (1/2) of the N.W. quarter (1) and the S.W. quarter (1) of the N.E. quarter (1) of section nineteen (19), and the S.E. quarter (1) of the S.W. quarter (1) of section eighteen (18), township twenty-eight (28) N., of range nineteen (19) W., all in Flathead county, state of Montana, and all of the reasonable value of eight hundred (\$800.00) dollars, and that the same is encumbered by mortgage which is now being foreclosed to the extent

of three hundred and seventy-five (\$375.00) dollars.

That plaintiff asks for alimony in the sum of \$50.00 per month or a lump sum of \$800.00; for attorneys fees, suit money, and for her costs and disbursements herein, and that the bonds of matrimony heretofore existing between this plaintiff and defendant be wholly dissolved, all of which more fully appears in the complaint filed herein and herewith served upon you and to which complaint reference is hereby particularly made.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 29th day of March 1911.

SAM D. McNEELY,
Clerk of Said Court.
(Seal)
Thompson & Stout, Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kalispell, Montana.

McCabe Mary vs McCabe Thomas 6 Jun 1911 p1

MRS. THOMAS McCABE SECURES A DIVORCE.

The divorce case of Thomas McCabe against Mary McCabe, which has occupied the attention of the district court since last winter at different times was decided Saturday in favor of the defendant. Upon an affidavit having been filed by Thomas D. Long, attorney for Mr. McCabe, Judge J. E. Erickson was disqualified, and D. F. Smith was sworn in as Judge protem to try the case and render a verdict. Mrs. McCabe was charged with cruelty in the complaint, who made a cross complaint naming the same grounds and alleging she was entitled to a divorce. Judge Smith rendered a verdict in favor of Mrs. McCabe, and gave her the custody of a minor child, John McCabe, allowing a maintenance of \$25 per month while attending school and should he go to college \$500 per year was named as the proper amount to maintain him there.

The property right was settled outside of the court, Mrs. McCabe receiving \$7000 as her share of the property owned by the parties to the suit. Mrs. McCabe was represented by Attorneys Thompson & Stout, and Thomas D. Long appeared for Mr. McCabe. This case has taken up considerable time in the court since it was filed last winter, several postponements having been made by attorneys for both sides of the controversy. Altho Mr. McCabe first filed the complaint on the grounds of cruelty Mrs. McCabe received the verdict in her favor on the same charge.

McCallum Rena vs McCallum Alex 11 Jul 1911 p1

FOUR DIVORCES FILED.

Four divorce suits were filed in the district court last week, as follows: Rena McCallum vs. Alex. McCallum; Martha Bottolfsen vs. Adolph Bottolfsen; Esta K. Scott vs. J. T. Scott, and Edward F. Haggerty vs. Aretta Haggerty.

Meirs Mattie vs Meirs Fred 31 Mar 1911 p12

A divorce has been granted to Mattie Meirs and Fred Meirs.

A suit for divorce has been filed against W. E. Morton by Elizabeth Morton.

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

Elizabeth M. Morton, Plaintiff,

vs.

William H. Morton, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greeting to the above named defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county where in you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Plaintiff complains of the defendant and alleges:

First. That for more than one year last past plaintiff and defendant have been and now are residents of the state of Montana.

Second. That plaintiff and defendant were intermarried at Kalspell, Montana, December 23, 1909, and ever since have been and now are husband and wife.

Third. That plaintiff has always been towards defendant a dutiful wife, but that the defendant unmindful of his marriage obligation has for more than one year last past wilfully and unlawfully failed, neglected and refused to provide for plaintiff the common necessaries of life, he having the ability so to do and at all times herein mentioned.

Wherefore, Plaintiff asks judgment for a decree of divorce herein and for her costs, and for the restoration of her former name of Elizabeth M. Barnhouse.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 22d day of August, 1911.

—Sam D. McNeely,
Clerk of said Court.
D. F. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kalspell, Mont.

ALIAS SUMMONS.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.

PEARL REED, Plaintiff, .

vs.

CHARLES L. REED, Defendant.

The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant.

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the County wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the Plaintiff's Attorney within twenty days after the service of this Summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Plaintiff complains of the Defendant and alleges:

1st. That for more than one year last past Plaintiff has been and now is a resident of the State of Montana.

2d. That Plaintiff and Defendant were intermarried at Fort Benton, Montana, September 9th, 1900, and ever since have been and now are husband and wife.

3d. That the issue of said marriage consists of two children, Mary Reed, age nine years, and Ruth Reed, age seven years, and said children are now in the care and custody of the Plaintiff herein.

4th. That the Plaintiff has been towards the Defendant a dutiful wife, but that the Defendant unmindful of his marriage obligation has for more than one year last past wilfully and unlawfully neglected Plaintiff and failed to provide for her the common necessaries of life, he having the ability so to do, and the said Defendant still continues to so wilfully and unlawfully neglected plaintiff and fail to provide for her the common necessaries of life, though he has the ability so to do.

5th. That it is for the best interests of said minor children that their care, custody and control be awarded to the Plaintiff herein.

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands a decree of divorce, and the custody of the minor children, and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper, and for costs herein.

Witness my hand and the Seal of said Court this 6th day of January, 1911.

—Sam D. McNeely,
Clerk of Said Court.

By R. N. Eaton, Deputy Clerk.
D. F. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff,
Kalspell, Montana.

ESTRA V

FOUR DIVORCES FILED.

Four divorce suits were filed in the district court last week, as follows: Rena McCallum vs. Alex. McCallum; Martha Holtolfson vs. Adolph Holtolfson; Esta K. Scott vs. J. T. Scott, and Edward P. Haggerty vs. Aretta Haggerty.