

Surname	Given	vs	Surname	Given	Publication Date	Publication Date
Anderson	Mary K	vs	Anderson	Frank A	22 Mar 1904 p5	24 Jun 1904 p1
					<p>Mary K. Anderson has commenced divorce proceedings against Frank A. Anderson, alleging failure to support as grounds for her action. They were married in Denver, Colo., June 10, 1888, and one child was born as the result of the union.</p>	<p>IN THE DISTRICT COURT. Forger Sentenced and Divorces Granted Unhappy Couples. The May term of the district court convened this week but was only in session Tuesday and Wednesday when an adjournment was taken until tomorrow. As there are no criminal cases of importance to come up for trial no jury will be called, at least for the present. Aside from civil matters but little business of importance was transacted, the work being chiefly confined to granting decrees of divorce, three unhappy marital knots being severed. Frank Stahler was freed from Emma Stahler; Lena Bosquet from Frank Bosquet and Mary K. Anderson from Frank Anderson. The cause, desertion, was the same in each case.</p>
Barton	Lila A	vs	Barton	Herbert C	15 Nov 1904 p5	
					<p>Application for divorce has been made by Lila V. Barton, from Herbert C. Barton; and by Edith Marcure from William Marcure. Statutory grounds of cruelty and non-support being advanced in each case.</p>	
Black	Nettie	vs	Black	Archibald	19 Feb 1904 p8	1 Apr 1904 p5
					<p>Asks for Freedom. Divorce proceedings have been commenced in the district court by Nettie Black against Archibald Black on the grounds of cruelty and threatening to kill. They were married in Minden City, Mich., Dec. 9, 1890 and five children were born to them. For several years past she alleges, he has treated her with cruelty, striking and abusing her and calling her vile names. She asks that the bonds of matrimony be dissolved and that she be given the care and custody of the three girls, and that the defendant be awarded the maintenance of the two boys.</p>	<p>Judge Smith yesterday granted a decree of divorce to Nettie Black from Archibald Black. Cruelty was the grounds alleged.</p>
Bousquet	Lina	vs	Bousquet	Frank A	29 Apr 1904 p8	24 Jun 1904 p1
					<p>Lina Bousquet has commenced suit for a divorce from Frank Bousquet, alleging desertion. They were married at Missoua, Mont., July 19, 1892.</p>	<p>IN THE DISTRICT COURT. Forger Sentenced and Divorces Granted Unhappy Couples. The May term of the district court convened this week but was only in session Tuesday and Wednesday when an adjournment was taken until tomorrow. As there are no criminal cases of importance to come up for trial no jury will be called, at least for the present. Aside from civil matters but little business of importance was transacted, the work being chiefly confined to granting decrees of divorce, three unhappy marital knots being severed. Frank Stahler was freed from Emma Stahler; Lena Bosquet from Frank Bosquet and Mary K. Anderson from Frank Anderson. The cause, desertion, was the same in each case.</p>
Breedlove	Sarah A	vs	Breedlove	David	16 Jan 1904 p8	
					<p>A Deserted Wife. Sarah A. Breedlove commenced divorce proceedings this week against David Breedlove, alleging abandonment as the grounds for her action. They were married at Grand Island, Nebraska, December 24, 1897, and she claims that over a year ago he deserted her and has since failed to aid in supporting her. Her maiden name was Sarah A. Morrison and she asks that if he be restored to her.</p>	
Brewster	Mollie	vs	Brewster	William	22 Nov 1904 p5	
					<p>Suit for divorce has been commenced by Mollie Brewster against William Brewster. Cruelty is alleged. McKewen & Conrow represent the plaintiff.</p>	
Buchhorn	Mary	vs	Buchhorn	Julius	27 Jun 1904 p8	
					<p>Mary Buchhorn has commenced divorce proceedings against Julius Buchhorn alleging cruelty as the grounds for her complaint. They were married in this city September 3, 1902, and two children were born to them. She asks that her maiden name of Mary Miller be restored to her, and that she be given the custody of her children.</p>	
Clark	Alice	vs	Clark	Charles N	18 Oct 1904 p8	11 Nov 1904 p8
					<p>Claiming desertion and habitual intemperance as the cause, Alice Clark has instituted a suit for divorce from Charles N. Clark.</p>	<p>Her Plea Was Granted. Alice Clark has been granted a divorce from Charles N. Clark on the grounds of non-support and habitual drunkenness. Mrs. Clark has returned to her former home in Teton county, where her father, J. N. Pearson, of Pearson Bros., sheep men, resides.</p>
Clark	H T	vs	Clark	Oola	1 Jul 1904 p8	
					<p>H. T. Clark has commenced divorce proceedings from Iola Clark, alleging desertion. They were married at Taylorville, Ill., July 14, 1892, and he asserts that she deserted him June 4, 1892.</p>	

Cunningham William S vs Cunningham Mary

14 Jun 1904 p5

Romance at an End. William S. Cunningham has commenced suit for divorce from Mary Cunningham, alleging desertion as the grounds for action. They were married at Bozons, December 25, 1901, and he claims that she left him February 9, 1903.

14 Jun 1904 p8

Alias Summons. In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead. William S. Cunningham, Plaintiff, vs. Mary Cunningham, Defendant. The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant; You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the County wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint. This action is brought upon the ground of desertion, to obtain a decree dissolving the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. Witness my hand and the seal of said court, this 11th day of June, 1904. (SIGNAL) —James K. Lang, Clerk. J. H. Stevens, Atty for Plaintiff, Kalspell, Montana. All of this week our entire line of Imported Pattern Hats at half price. The Adams Department store.

Fracas Augusta vs Fracas Joseph

26 Feb 1904 p8

Trouble and Fracas. An action for divorce was commenced by Augusta Fracas against Joseph Fracas this week, on grounds of cruel and inhuman treatment. In her complaint the plaintiff alleges that she was married September 4, 1888, and that there are six children as the result of the union. At different times in the past few years she alleges that he has called her vile and indecent names and otherwise abused her. She alleges that he is the owner of a ranch nine miles northeast of Kalspell, where they have made their home. She asks that the bonds of matrimony be set aside and that she be given the custody of the children and also a share of the property.

12 Apr 1904 p5

Augusta Fracas was granted a divorce from Joseph Fracas at yesterday's session of the district court.

Frank Paul vs Frank Jennie

5 Feb 1904 p8

A suit for divorce on statutory grounds was commenced this week, when Paul Frank commenced proceeding looking to the annulment of the marital tie between him and Jennie Frank. In his complaint Frank states that they were married in Grand Forks, N. D., in 1896. He asks that he be granted a divorce and given the custody of the two minor children, and also that the community property consisting of two city lots be awarded to him.

4 Mar 1904 p5

At Wednesday's session of the district court Paul Frank was granted a decree of divorce from Jennie Frank. Both are residents of this city.

Gates Annie M vs Gates Robert G

22 Mar 1904 p5

The second divorce case of the week, in which the plaintiff is the wife, and desertion and non-support the grounds, was commenced by Annie M. Gates against Robert G. Gates. They were married at Pinedale, Wyo., February 19, 1888.

Gerleman Elizabeth vs Gerleman Henry

30 Dec 1904 p5

Decree of divorce was granted Elizabeth Gerleman from Henry Gerleman, during the week. She gets custody of the children and all the real estate, \$2,688.20 being awarded Gerleman.

Griffith Ada E vs Griffith George E

5 Jul 1904 p8

A Cruel Husband. Ada E. Griffith has commenced divorce proceedings against George E. Griffith and asks that her maiden name of Ada E. Stroud be restored to her. Cruelty is the ground alleged in her complaint. They were married in this city, November 6, 1899.

30 Aug 1904 p5

The May term of the district court came to a close Saturday after a one day's session, and on Monday the August term commenced. A number of cases were disposed of Saturday, including two divorces, Maud Moore being granted her freedom from Alexander Moore and given custody of the child, while Ada E. Griffith was released from the onus that bound her to George E. Griffith.

Greenwood D B vs Greenwood Nettie

15 Apr 1904 p3

A decree of divorce was granted D. B. Greenwood from Nettie Greenwood at this week's session of the district court. The parties interested are residents of Teton county.

Hafer Etta S vs Hafer U G

8 Apr 1904 p8

Etta S. Hafer was granted a divorce from U. G. Hafer at Tuesday's session of the district court. Desertion and non-support were grounds alleged.

Harmon Jennie V vs Harmon Roy 26 Feb 1904 p5

Jennie V. Harmon has commenced divorce proceedings from Roy Harmon, alleging cruelty, and non-support. Besides asking for a decree of divorce she also desires the restoration of her maiden name, Jennie Viola Hood. The couple were married in Woodstock, Ill., November 25, 1901.

Hart Charles B vs Hart Alma B 30 Aug 1904 p8

A DANGEROUS WOMAN.
C. B. Hart Applies for a Divorce From His Wife.
Charles B. Hart has commenced divorce proceedings from Alma B. Hart after a wedded life of nearly five years. The grounds for the complaint are rather unusual and are to the effect that the plaintiff is afraid that if he does not give up his position as railroad engineer the defendant will attempt to kill both him and their one child. The complaint alleges that Mrs. Hart is of an unhappy disposition and has a violent temper, and that she is opposed to Mr. Hart retaining his position as engineer and wishes him to give it up and leave this town and county. He claims that some time ago, while he was absent on a trip, Mrs. Hart took the child and was making for the Canadian boundary line when she was caught and brought back. He asks for the custody of the child and that the court issue an order restraining the defendant from taking it away until after the case is tried.

Henry Belle vs Henry James R 23 Sep 1904 p8

Belle Henry has applied for a divorce from James R. Henry, on statutory grounds.

15 Nov 1904 p5

Decree of divorce was granted Belle Henry from Charles E. Henry.

Henry Coila P vs Henry Charles E 8 Jul 1904 p3

Coila P. Henry has commenced suit for divorce against Charles E. Henry, alleging failure to provide. They were married at Hamilton, February 4, 1892.

Kyle Joseph vs Kyle Lina 30 Sep 1904 p8

Joseph Kyle has filed a petition for divorce from Lina Kyle, on statutory grounds.

Lacy William vs Lacy Kate A 26 Dec 1905 p5

Alleging desertion as the grounds for his action, William Lacy has commenced suit against Kate A. Lacy, asking for freedom from the marital yoke. They were married in Tuerdo, Nova Scotia, September 25, 1888, and he claims that she deserted him April 14, 1903.

Lewis Lillian M vs Lewis Wm E 27 Sep 1904 p8

An application for divorce has been filed by Lillian M. Lewis, praying for a legal separation from Wm. E. Lewis to whom she was married in 1884. Cruelty is set up as the ground for a divorce.

Lewman Lucy E vs Lewman Frederick H 2 Aug 1904 p1

Wants a Divorce.
Lucy E. Lewman has commenced divorce proceedings against Frederick H. Lewman, alleging cruelty as grounds for her complaint. According to her complaint the couple were married in Kansas, February 27, 1894, and four children were born to them. She claims that he has failed to provide the necessaries of life and through his failure so to do she was obliged to send the children to an orphan's home to be cared for. She asks for a decree of divorce and further asks for \$25 per month alimony.

Marcure Edith vs Marcure William 15 Nov 1904 p5

Application for divorce has been made by Lila V. Barton, from Herbert C. Barton, and by Edith Marcure from William Marcure. Statutory grounds of cruelty and non-support being advanced in each case.

Marshall Edith vs Marshall E W 13 May 1904 p8

Edith Marshall has instituted divorce proceedings against E. W. Marshall and asks that the galling bonds of matrimony be removed from her shoulders. They were married in Grand Rapids, Mich., January 1, 1899, and she alleges that since about one year afterwards he has failed to support her.

Moore Maude vs Moore Alexander 5 Aug 1904 p8

Married in Helena.
Action has been commenced by Maude Moore for divorce from Alexander Moore who, she claims, deserted her after about four years of married life. They were married in East Helena, Mont., March 15, 1898, and he abandoned her August 27, 1902. She asks for a decree of divorce and the custody of their one child.

30 Aug 1904 p5

The May term of the district court came to a close Saturday after a one day's session, and on Monday the August term commenced. A number of cases were disposed of Saturday, including two divorces, Maud Moore being granted her freedom from Alexander Moore and given custody of the child, while Ada E. Griffith was released from the one that bound her to George E. Griffith.

O'Clair Mary vs O'Clair Robert 25 Mar 1904 p8

Is Too Fond of Whiskey.
Because her husband would come to KallsPELL and get drunk and remain in that condition for days at a time, Mrs. Mary O'Clair has brought suit against Robert O'Clair, asking that she be given a divorce. In her complaint she alleges that they were married at Beloit, Wis., May 1, 1877. She recites that O'Clair is an habitual user of intoxicating liquors and oftentimes when he returned from KallsPELL he would bring with him large quantities of the liquor and would remain in a drunken condition for days at a time, not being able to do any work. He also treated her cruelly and at different times, according to her story he threatened to kill her.

Pabst Sara Louise vs Pabst Adolphus Joseph 13 May 1904 p1

Declines Separation.
Divorce proceedings have been commenced by Sara Louise Pabst against Adolphus Joseph Pabst on the grounds of cruelty. They were married at Milwaukee, Wis., August 7, 1898, and two children were born to them. She alleges that he has struck her at different times besides charging her with unchastity. She asks for a decree of divorce and the custody of the children. In an answer filed Mr. Pabst denies the charges of cruelty and asks that the proceedings be dismissed.

Paul James W vs Paul Myrtle V 27 May 1904 p8

Divorce proceedings have been commenced by James W. Paul against Myrtle V. Paul on the grounds of abandonment and desertion. They were married in Oil City, Pa., March 21, 1896, and he claims that she deserted him on April 28, 1903.

24 Jun 1904 p3

Alias Summons.
In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.
James W. Paul, Plaintiff, against Myrtle V. Paul, Defendant.
The State of Montana sends greeting to the above named defendant: You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorneys within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.
This action is brought for the purpose of dissolving the bonds of matrimony now existing between the plaintiff and the said defendant, on the grounds of desertion.
Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 27th day of May, 1904.
[SEAL] —James K. Lang,
Clerk.
McKeown & Conrow, Att'y's for plaintiff, KallsPELL, Montana.

Rosen Mary vs Rosen Charles 18 Mar 1904 p3

Mary Rosen has commenced proceedings in the district court looking to the severing of the marital tie that binds her to Charles Rosen, to whom she was married in the wicked city of Butte, December 26, 1895. Cruelty and non-support are alleged as grounds for the action.

17 May 1904 p8

Mary Rosen was granted a decree of divorce from Charles Rosen at last week's session of the district court.

Stahler Frank vs Stahler Emma 3 May 1904 p5

Frank Stahler has brought suit against Emma Stahler alleging desertion as the ground for his action. They were married in 1893 at Tesco-la, Ill., and she deserted him in 1899.

24 Jun 1904 p1

IN THE DISTRICT COURT.
Forger Sentenced and Divorces Granted Unhappy Couples.
The May term of the district court convened this week but was only in session Tuesday and Wednesday when an adjournment was taken until tomorrow. As there are no criminal cases of importance to come up for trial no jury will be called, at least for the present. Aside from civil matters but little business of importance was transacted, the work being chiefly confined to granting decrees of divorce, three unhappy marital knots being severed. Frank Stahler was freed from Emma Stahler; Lena Bosquet from Frank Bosquet and Mary K. Anderson from Frank Anderson. The cause, desertion, was the same in each case.

Name	Plaintiff	vs	Defendant	Date	Details
Stevens	Elmer E	vs	Stevens Ada M	22 Mar 1904 p3	<p>Summons.</p> <p>In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.</p> <p>Elmer E. Stevens, Plaintiff, vs. Ada M. Stevens, Defendant.</p> <p>The state of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant.</p> <p>You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.</p> <p>This action is brought for the purpose of obtaining a decree of divorce upon the ground of desertion, dissolving the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between the plaintiff and the defendant.</p> <p>Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 18th day of March, 1904.</p> <p>—James K. Lang, Clerk. By I. D. Rogallen, Deputy Clerk. J. H. Stevens, Att'y for Plaintiff, Kalispell, Montana.</p>
				22 Mar 1904 p8	<p>Elmer E. Stevens has commenced proceedings in the district court asking for a divorce from Ada M. Stevens on the grounds of desertion. He states in his complaint that they were married in this city in October, 1902, and that she deserted him March 14, 1903.</p>
				17 May 1904 p3	<p>Elmer E. Stevens was granted a decree of divorce from Adah Stevens at last week's session of the district court.</p>
St John	Annie	vs	St John Joseph	1 Jan 1904 p8	<p>Annie St. John was granted a decree of divorce from Joseph St. John at yesterday's session of the district court and was also given the custody of the three minor children. Cruelty was given as the grounds for the divorce.</p>
Tuttle	Bessie	vs	Tuttle Wm F	17 Jun 1904 p5	<p>Bessie Tuttle has commenced divorce proceedings against Wm. F. Tuttle on the grounds of desertion. They were married at Spokane, Wash., November 13, 1902, and he deserted her June 2, 1904.</p>
Tyler	Nellie M	vs	Tyler Morris	19 Jan 1904 p3	<p>Nellie M. Tyler commenced divorce proceedings from Morris Tyler last week, alleging non-support as the grounds for her action. They were married at Lehighville, Pa., October 16, 1898, she asks that she be granted a decree of divorce and the custody of their child.</p>
Waite	Gertrude V	vs	Waite Elmer C	26 Feb 1904 p8	<p>Gertrude V. Waite has commenced divorce proceedings against Elmer C. Waite, alleging cruelty as the grounds for her action. They were married in Valley county, Nebraska, June 2, 1888, and she claims that almost continuously since that time he has treated her cruelly and made her life almost unbearable by calling her vile and indecent names.</p>
Walker	William A	vs	Walker Nettie	1 Mar 1904 p8	<p>A decree of divorce was granted William A. Walker from Nettie Walker by the district court yesterday. The parties interested are residents of Jennings.</p>
Wren	George	vs	Wren Susan	1 Mar 1904 p5	<p>Divorce proceedings have been commenced in the district court by George Wren against Susan Wren, on grounds of infidelity. The parties interested are residents of Teton county.</p>
Yenne	Amanda E	vs	Yenne George M	15 Mar 1904 p8	<p>Divorce proceeding were commenced yesterday by Amanda E. Yenne against George M. Yenne on the grounds of cruelty and desertion. They were married at Creston, April 7, 1896.</p>

Charles B. Young has commenced divorce proceedings against Lillie M. Young, claiming desertion. They were married in Bert, Neb., November 1, 1883, and he claims that she quit his bed and board on May 14, 1892.

Alias Summons.
 In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Flathead.
 Charles B. Young, Plaintiff vs. Lillie M. Young, Defendant.
 The State of Montana sends greetings to the above named defendant: You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the clerk of this court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you in the county wherein you reside, and to file your answer and serve a copy thereof upon the plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you, by default, for the relief demanded in the complaint.
 This is a suit brought to obtain a divorce and dissolve the bonds of matrimony now existing between the plaintiff and defendant on the grounds of desertion.
 Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 17th day of April, 1904.
 [Seal] —James K. Lang,
 By I. D. Rogulen, Clerk.
 F. H. Greenman, Atty for Plaintiff.