

Surname	Given names	vs	Surname	Given names	Publication Date	
Ames	Emma	vs	Ames	Ernest	Sep 20 1901 p8	Nov 8 1901 p5
					<p>ALIAS BARRIERS.</p> <p>In the District Court of the Eastern Judicial District, of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Parkland.</p> <p>Emma Ames, Plaintiff, vs. Ernest Ames, Defendant.</p> <p>The above entitled action is the proper one for granting the benefit of matrimony now existing between the plaintiff and defendant, on the grounds of willful neglect, habitual intemperance and abandonment.</p> <p>The State of Montana to the above named Defendant.</p> <p>You are hereby summoned to answer the copy of this writ which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, within six days after the date of service upon you, and to file your answer and more if any should come from the Plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this writ, under penalty of the loss of service, and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.</p> <p>Witness my hand and the seal of Parkland County this 15th day of September, 1901.</p>	<p>Emma Ames was granted a divorce from Ernest Ames, and Mary E. Hall a divorce from W. J. Hall.</p>
Carpenter	Mary	vs	Carpenter	H O	Mar 15 1901 p5	Jun 28 1901 p5
					<p>Two new divorce cases have been commenced in the district court this week: H. O. Carpenter against Mary Carpenter, alleging desertion, F. H. Greenman attorney for plaintiff; Lillian A. Parsons against Elmer E. Parsons, on the grounds of adultery, Foot & Pomeroy, attorneys for plaintiff.</p>	<p>H. O. Carpenter was granted a divorce from Mary Carpenter.</p>
						Sep 27 1901 p5
						H. O. Carpenter vs Mary Carpenter, divorce, leave granted to set aside former decree and file amended decree.
Casey	Maud	vs	Casey	Charles A	Jul 12 1901 p8	
					<p>SUBPOENA.</p> <p>In the District Court of the Eastern Judicial District, of the State of Montana, in and for the County of Parkland.</p> <p>Maud Casey, Plaintiff, vs. Charles A. Casey, Defendant.</p> <p>The State of Montana to the above named defendant:</p> <p>You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to file your answer and more if any should come from the Plaintiff's attorney within twenty days after the service of this summons, under penalty of the loss of service, and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.</p> <p>Witness my hand and the seal of Parkland County this 12th day of July, A.D. 1901.</p>	
Coombs	Fred A	vs	Coombs	Emma	Nov 1 1901 p5	
					<p>Fred A. Coombs has begun a suit for a divorce against Emma Coombs.</p>	
Feldman	Ferdinand A	vs	Feldman	Emma A	Sep 27 1901 p5	
					<p>Ferdinand A. Feldman vs Emma A. Feldman, divorce, default entered.</p>	
Florica	Chas E	vs	Florica	Edith	Sep 27 1901 p5	
					<p>Chas. E. Florica vs Edith Florica, decree of divorce granted.</p>	
Hall	Mary E	vs	Hall	W J	Nov 8 1901 p5	
					<p>Emma Ames was granted a divorce from Ernest Ames, and Mary E. Hall a divorce from W. J. Hall.</p>	
Kleinschmidt	Catherine	vs	Kleinschmidt	Arthur B	Sep 27 1901 p5	Nov 15 1901 p5
					<p>Catherine I. Kleinschmidt has begun an action in the district court of this county for a divorce from Arthur B. Kleinschmidt. The complaint states that the parties were married at Helena in 1899, and that the husband is dissipated, and refuses to support the complainant. She asks to have her maiden name of Catherine I. Cutler restored to her. J. K. Miller, of Columbia Falls, appears as attorney for the complainant.</p>	<p>Mrs. Catherine I. Kleinschmidt was yesterday granted a divorce from Arthur B. Kleinschmidt, and was allowed to resume her maiden name, Catherine I. Cutler.</p>
Lambert	Rosa D	vs	Lambert	Edmond	Oct 25 1901 p5	
					<p>Rosa D. Lambert has commenced proceedings in the district court to secure a divorce from Edmond Lambert, alleging cruel and inhuman treatment, and habitual drunkenness on the part of Lambert.</p>	
Newton	Hanna	vs	Newton	Frank P	Sep 27 1901 p5	
					<p>Hanna Newton vs Frank P. Newton, decree of divorce granted. The plaintiff was given the custody of five minor children, and the real estate petitioned for in the complaint was awarded absolutely to the plaintiff.</p>	
Parsons	Lillian A	vs	Parsons	Elmer E	Mar 15 1901 p5	
					<p>Two new divorce cases have been commenced in the district court this week: H. O. Carpenter against Mary Carpenter, alleging desertion, F. H. Greenman attorney for plaintiff; Lillian A. Parsons against Elmer E. Parsons, on the grounds of adultery, Foot & Pomeroy, attorneys for plaintiff.</p>	
Raasch	Mathilde	vs	Raasch	George	Apr 19 1901 p5	
					<p>In the district court Wednesday Mathilde Raasch was granted a divorce from George Raasch.</p>	
Robinson	Grace	vs	Robinson	George	Jan 4 1901 p5	
					<p>Mr. and Mrs. George Robinson have mutually dissolved the bonds of matrimony. Mrs. Grace Robinson departed East on Friday morning's train. A mutual property agreement was made and Mr. Robinson will no doubt be granted a divorce at the next term of the district court.—Montanian.</p>	
Rollins	Maria L	vs	Rollins	R A	Aug 30 1901 p5	
					<p>Mrs. Maria L. Rollins on Monday filed in the district court a petition for a divorce from R. A. Rollins, alleging cruel and inhuman treatment as a ground for it. She asks for alimony and an allowance for attorney's fees. Oliver & McKeown are attorneys for the plaintiff.</p>	

Ryan	Nora	vs	Ryan	J P	Nov 22 1901 p5 Nora Ryan has begun suit for divorce from J. P. Ryan, F. H. Greenman is attorney for plaintiff.	Nov 22 1901 p8 LEGAL SUMMONS In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District, of the State of Montana, to and for the County of Flathead. NORA RYAN, Plaintiff, vs. J. P. RYAN, Defendant. The State of Montana, unto greeting to the above named defendant and to each of them: You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you of your own name, wherein you are charged with the violation of the marriage laws of this State, and in the event you fail to answer the same, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint. This is a suit brought by me for dissolving the bonds of matrimony now existing between the plaintiff and defendant, upon the grounds of habitual intemperance and wilful neglect. Witness my hand and the seal of said Court, this 22nd day of November 1901. JAMES R. LAYNE, Clerk.
Smith	Melenda	vs	Smith	William J	Aug 23 1901 p1 SUMMONS. In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District, of the State of Montana, to and for the County of Flathead. Melenda Smith, Plaintiff, vs. William J. Smith, Defendant. The State of Montana, unto greeting to the above named defendant and to each of them: You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court, a copy of which is herewith served upon you of your own name, wherein you are charged with the violation of the marriage laws of this State, and in the event you fail to answer the same, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint. This action is brought to obtain a decree of nullity dissolving the bonds of matrimony existing between plaintiff and defendant, according to law, and to restore to the plaintiff her maiden name, all of which is fully set forth in said complaint to which reference is hereby had and made. Witness my hand and the seal of said Court, this 23rd day of August, A.D. 1901. JAMES R. LAYNE, Clerk.	
Wasson nee Richardson	Irene	vs	Wasson	R D	May 24 1901 p5 Irene Wasson has begun an action to secure a divorce from R. D. Wasson, and asks the restoration of her maiden name of Irene Richardson. Wasson is the man who plead guilty to the charge of bigamy in the district court last winter and was sent to the penitentiary.	
Wilke	Elma F	vs	Wilke	Albert	Dec 20 1901 p5 Elma F. Wilke has begun action to obtain a divorce from Albert Wilke. J. K. Miller of Columbia Falls appears as attorney for the plaintiff. Failure to support is alleged as the ground for divorce.	
Yenne	Geo M	vs	Yenne	Amanda	Sep 27 1901 p5 The divorce case of Geo. M. Yenne vs Amanda Yenne was dismissed.	

Other Publications

Best	Mary	vs	Best	H T	22 Jun 1901- md 1891 Walla Walla, Wa.
Cook	Amilia B	vs	Cook	Webber	0 Apr 1901- maiden name Hollander Granted yesterday
Duncan	Dr A R	vs	Duncan	Mrs A R	21 Dec 1901- filed suit
Penny	Mrs	vs	Penny	William	21 Dec 1901-
Walters	Mary A	vs	Walters	James S	1 Jan 1901- md 21 Mar 1898
Zittanovitch	Anna	vs	Zittanovitch	Marco	27 Mar 1901- maiden name Geiger